## DYNAMIC SLOPE STABILITY ANALYSIS OF BLACK COTTON SOIL STABILIZED WITH GGBS AND LIME

### Praveen Kumar Kundagol<sup>1</sup>, K V Manjunath<sup>2</sup>, R Prabhakara<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>P.G.student, Department of Civil Engineering, M.S. Ramaiah Institute of Technology, Bangalore, India <sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, M.S. Ramaiah Institute of Technology, Bangalore, India <sup>3</sup>Professor & HOD, Department of Civil Engineering, M.S. Ramaiah Institute of Technology, Bangalore, India

#### Abstract

The engineering properties of Black Cotton Soil (BC Soil) improve when it is stabilized with Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag (GGBS) and Lime. Engineering properties such as Maximum Dry Density (MDD), Optimum Moisture Content (OMC), Free swell index and unconfined compression strength were determined using various percentages of lime activated GGBS while stabilizing BC Soil. Static shear strength of stabilized mixes wasmeasured using Unconfined Compressive tests and Dynamic shear modulus ( $G_{max}$ ) was determined using Nondestructive testing technique i.e. passing primary wave though the specimen and measuring its velocity. Using the experimental data, a dam slope with height 15m is modeled in GEOSTUDIO software package and Dam's dynamic stability is analyzed for various trial mixes.

\*\*\*

Keywords: Black Cotton Soil, GGBS, Dynamic analysis of Slopes, GEOSTUDIO, Free swell.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Black cotton soil is a clayey soil, grayish or black in color, highly expansive and compressive in nature. BCSoil has low shrinkage limit and high optimum moisture content. BC Soil poses many problems to engineers due to its peculiar character; it undergoes volume changes with the seasons as moisture content in the soil changes. Due to the major presence of montmorillonite clay mineral, it swells and shrinks alternatively with every wet and dry cycle and also it has low shear strength and high plasticity index.

Ground granulated blast furnace slag is a refined form of blast furnace slag which is the by-product of the steel industry. It is a pozzalonic material, i.e. it has inherent cementitious properties which have to be activated. According to K V Manjunath et al.<sup>[6]</sup> (2012)addition of GGBS and lime reduces the swelling, increases the shrinkage limit and shear strength of BCSoil. Anil Kumar Sharma et al.<sup>[1]</sup> conducted that experiments on expansive soil and found that GGBS decreases the free swelling of expansive soil. According to Dr. D D Higgins<sup>[4]</sup> (2005) that GGBS increases the resistance to Sulphate expansion. Gyanen Takhelmayum et al.<sup>[5]</sup> (2013) stated that MDD increases because of formation C-S-H which fills the pore spaces.

For civil engineers, designing embankments with BC Soil is very difficult in earthquake prone areas; so it necessitates stabilization of BC Soil to achieve improved dynamic properties. An attempt has been made by stabilizing BC Soil with Lime and GGBS in this work.

#### 2. MATERIALS USED

1. **Black Cotton soil:** The BC Soil was procured from Kadur in Karnataka. The soil was collected from a depth of 1m below ground level. The properties of Black Cotton soil used in this study are listed in the table 1.

Table I Properties of Black Cotton Son		
Specific gravity	2.659	
Liquid limit	60%	
Plastic limit	37.5%	
Plasticity index	22.5%	
Shrinkage limit	-	
Max. dry density	1.56g/cc	
Optimum moisture content	26%	
Shear strength	116.45 kPa	
Free swell index	60%	
Shear strength	116kPa	

 Table 1 Properties of Black Cotton Soil

2. **Ground Granular Blast Furnace(GGBS):** GGBS was procured from Jindal Steels, Bellary. The properties of GGBS used are listed in Table 2.

Table 2 Properties of GGBS		
Specific gravity	2.874	
Liquid limit	34.5%	
Plastic limit	-	
Plasticity index	-	
Shrinkage limit	34.43%	
Max. dry density	1.632g/cc	
Optimum moisture content	21.7%	

3. **Lime:** Commercially availablehydrated lime (CaOH) was used here.

# 3. METHODOLOGY AND MODELING IN GEOSTUDIO

Unconfined compressive testing is used to find out static shear strength and conducted as per IS 2720(part-10) and free swell index test as per IS2720 (part-40).Free swell index is calculated using following formula i.e.

Free swell index= ((Volume of soil in water)-(Volume of soil in kerosene))/(Volume of soil in kerosene).

 $G_{max}$  i.e. dynamic shear modulus determined by passing Primary Elastic wave through specimen and measuring its velocity; following formulae are used.

$$V_{p}=L/T$$
  
E= ( $\rho$  (1+ $\mu$ ) (1-2 $\mu$ )\*V<sub>p</sub><sup>2</sup>)/ (1- $\mu$ )  
G<sub>max</sub>= E/ (2(1+ $\mu$ ))  
V<sub>s</sub><sup>2</sup>= (G/ $\rho$ )

Where, E is dynamic Young's modulus,  $G_{max}$  is dynamic shear modulus,  $\mu$  is Poisson's ratio,  $V_p$  and  $V_s$  are primary and secondary wave velocities respectively.

A dam of 15m height is modeled. It is subjected to El-Centro earthquake and 14m water head in reservoir is considered. Figure 1 and 2 shows the models considered in study.



Fig1 Slope of 45 degree inclination and 15m height



Fig2 slope of 50 degree inclination and 15m heightAssumptions made in GEOSTUDIO stability analysis.1. Materials are considered as linear elastic in static analysis.2. Dynamic shear modulus of foundation i.e. 100%BCSoil

assumed to be 5000KPa.

- 3. Damping taken is 10%.
- 4. Poisson's ratio taken is 0.334.

#### 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

#### 4.1 Free Swell Index Test

Free swell index reduces with the addition of GGBS and lime also. Graph 1 and 2 variation of swell indices with addition of GGBS and lime respectively.



Graph 1 swell indices of varying percentage of GGBS only



Graph 2 Swell indices of varying percentage of lime only

#### 4.2 Maximum Dry Density(MDD) and (OMC)

MDD increases and OMC decreases with addition of GGBS. Table 2 shows variation of MDD and OMC with addition of GGBS.

MIXES	MDD(g/cc)	OMC(%)
100%BCS	1.56	26
100%BCS+15%GGBS	1.64	24
100%BCS+20%GGBS	1.64	23
100%BCS+25% GGBS	1.67	22
100%BCS+30%GGBS	1.69	21
100%BCS+35%GGBS	1.73	21
100%BCS+40%GGBS	1.73	19
100%BCS+45%GGBS	1.77	19

#### 4.3 UCC Test Results

Addition of GGBS and lime increases shear strength significantly. It is observed that strength at 7 days is about half of 28 days strength for 20%GGBS with varying percentages of lime. Similarly, strength at 7 days is about  $1/3^{rd}$  of 28 days strength for 25% GGBS with varying percentages of lime.

Table 2 UCC strength of BCS+20%GGBS	with	varying
percentage of lime		

Combination	28 days UCC strength(kPa)	7 days UCC strength (kPa)
BCS+20% GGBS+0% lime	825.18	482.74
BCS + 20% GGBS + 1 % lime	1268.64	617.17
BCS + 20% GGBS + 2 % lime	2088.33	1161.66
BCS + 20% GGBS + 3 % lime	3916.63	2409.52
BCS + 20% GGBS + 4 % lime	4505.08	3066.51



Graph 3 UCC strength of BCS+20%GGBS with varying percentage of lime

Table 3 UCC st	trength of BCS+25%GGBS	with varying

perc	entage of time	
Combination	28 days UCC	7 days UCC
	strength (kPa)	strength (kPa)
		C (
BCS + 25% GGBS +	1213.22	498.21
0 % lime		
BCS + 25% GGBS +	1880.72	617.17
1 % lime		
BCS + 25% GGBS +	3543.63	1392.15
% lime		
BCS + 25% GGBS +	4451	2643.49
3 % lime		
BCS + 25% GGBS +	5623.37	3295.86
4 % lime		



Graph 4 UCC strength of BCS+25%GGBS with varying percentage of lime



**Graph 5**Static stress strain graph of UCC test for BCS+20%GGBS with varying percentages of lime at 28 days





#### 4.4 Dynamic Shear Modulus Calculation

It is observed that shear modulus increases with increase in the percentages of GGBS and lime even though the density variation between all trial mixes is very less. Hence, stabilization also increases the dynamic properties as shown in the tables 5 & 6 and graphs 7 & 8 below

	20%GGBS	20%GGB	20%GGB
Trial mixes	+0%lime	S+2%lime	S+4%lime
Travel time (s)	0.00009	0.0000575	0.0000505
Length			
(m)	0.075	0.086	0.084
Primary wave			
velocity (m/s)	833.33	1495.65	1663.36
Poison's ratio	0.336	0.336	0.336
Density			
(g/cc)	1.64	1.64	1.64
Young's			
modulus (kPa)	751611.78	2421125.4	2994552
Dynamic shear			
modulus (kPa)	281291.83	906109.8	1120715.6
Shear wave			
velocity(m/s)	414.15	743.30	826.65

 Table 4 Dynamic properties of BCS+20% GGBS with varying percentages of lime

 Table 5 Dynamic properties of BCS+25% GGBS with varying percentages of lime

Trial mixes	25%GGBS	25%GGBS	25%GGB
	+0%lime	+2%lime	S+4%lime
Travel time	9.06E-05	4.41E-05	4.42E-05
(seconds)			
Length	0.086	0.082	0.089
(m)			
Primary wave	949.22	1859.41	2013.57
velocity (m/s)			
poison's ratio	0.336	0.336	0.336
Dansity	1 (7	1 (7	1 (7
Density	1.0/	1.0/	1.0/
(g/cc)	1.07	1.67	1.67
(g/cc) Young's modulus	993045.6	3810476	4468525
(g/cc) Young's modulus (kPa)	993045.6	3810476	4468525
(g/cc) Young's modulus (kPa) Dynamic shear	993045.6 371648.8	1.67 3810476 1426076	1.67 4468525 1672352
(g/cc) Young's modulus (kPa) Dynamic shear modulus (kPa)	993045.6 371648.8	1.67           3810476           1426076	1.67       4468525       1672352
(g/cc) Young's modulus (kPa) Dynamic shear modulus (kPa) Shear wave	993045.6 371648.8 471.75	1.67       3810476       1426076       924.09	1.67       4468525       1672352       1000.70
(g/cc) Young's modulus (kPa) Dynamic shear modulus (kPa) Shear wave velocity	1.67       993045.6       371648.8       471.75	1.67       3810476       1426076       924.09	1.67       4468525       1672352       1000.70







Graph 8 Dynamic Young's modulus and shear modulus of stabilized mixes

#### 4.5 Analysis Results

Factor of safety increases for rich mixes but amplification of Acceleration at crest does not vary muchthis is because of height and unit weight of all mixes do not differ much. Stabilization also decreases acceleration amplification and displacements when compared with only BCSoil mix as shown in the below table 7 & 8.

It is observed that critical slip surface entry and exit remains almost same in all stabilized mix cases but the slip circle length for  $50^{\circ}$  inclined slope is longer than  $45^{\circ}$  inclined slope. Slip circles were drawn in figure 3 to 8.

It is observed that  $50^{\circ}$  inclined slope gets more Acceleration time history response than  $45^{\circ}$  inclined slope. Stabilized soil gets lesser Acceleration response than no stabilized soil. Responses for few mixes plotted in graph 10 to 13.

It is observed that strains due dynamic loading reduced enormously in stabilized mixes.

amprinteation for the active merination stope			
Trial mix	Incli	Dynami	Acceleration
	nati	c factor	Amplification
	on	of	at 2.12s
		safety	
100% BCS	$45^{\circ}$	1.458	2.5992
BCS + 20% GGBS +	$45^{\circ}$	3.974	1.963631
0% lime			
BCS + 20% GGBS +	$45^{\circ}$	8.513	1.954515
2% lime			
BCS + 20% GGBS +	45°	17.293	1.953919
2% lime			
BCS + 25% GGBS+	45°	5.285	1.955619
0% lime			

**Table 6** Factor of safety and dynamic acceleration

 amplification for 45 degree inclination slope

BCS + 25% GGBS+	45°	13.561	1.949611
2% lime			
BCS + 25% GGBS+	45°	20.952	1.949611
4% lime			

 
 Table 7 Factor of safety and dynamic acceleration amplification for 50 degree inclination slope

Trial mix	Incli	Dynami	Acceleration
	nati	c factor	Amplification
	on	of	at 2.12s
		safety	
100 BCS	50°	1.065	2.389727
BCS + 20% GGBS +	50°	4.017	2.11934
0% lime			
BCS + 20% GGBS +	50°	8.544	2.113893
2% lime			
BCS + 20% GGBS +	50°	17.291	2.113793
2% lime			
BCS + 25% GGBS+	50°	6.265	2.106694
0% lime			
BCS + 25% GGBS+	50°	13.56	1.949809
2% lime			
BCS + 25% GGBS+	50°	18.451	1.736298
4% lime			



Graph 9 Input Time history of El-Centro earthquake









Fig6 100% BCS, i=50°: Critical slip surface



Fig7 BCS+20% GGBS+2% lime, i=50°: Critical slip surface



Graph 10 Maximum Strain v/s time for 100% BCS only; i=45 degree at crest



**Graph 11** Maximum Strain v/s time for BCS+25%GGBS+4%Lime; i=45 degree at crest



Graph 12 Maximum Strain v/s time for 100% BCS only; i=50 degree at crest



**Fig8** Maximum Strain v/s time for BCS+25%GBBS+4%Lime only; i=50 degree at crest



Graph 13Acceleration time history plot at crest (black line) and at base (blue line) of 100%BCS with 45 degree inclination.



Graph 14Acceleration time history plot at crest (black line) and at base (blue line) for100%BCS with 50 degree inclination.



Graph 15Acceleration time history plot at crest (black line) and at base (blue line) for BCS+20%GGBS+2% lime with 45 degree inclination



Graph 16 Acceleration time history plot at crest (black line) and at base (blue line) for BCS+20%GGBS+2% lime with 50 degree inclination

#### **5. CONCLUSION**

Addition of GGBS to BCS increases MDD; reduces the OMC and decreases Free swell index. When BCSoilis stabilized with GGBS and Lime, its static and dynamic properties improves drastically.

Dynamic factor of safety increases with stabilized mixes. As inclination of slope increases Acceleration response at the crest increases.

#### REFERENCES

- Anil Kumar Sharma, P.V.Sivapullaiah (2014), "Swell mitigation and strength improvement of strength of expansive soil with waste Granular Blast Furnace Slag" Researchgate publication DOI: 10.13140/2.1.4341.7288, 1043-1050.
- [2] A.Burman, S.P.Acharya & D.Maity (2015)."A comparative study of slope stability analysis using traditional limit equilibrium method and finite element method."Asian journal of Civil engineering (BHRC) Vol.16(4),467-492.
- [3] Delvari, A.Kamanbedast et al. (2012). "Analysis of earth dam seepage & stability using ANSYS & Geostudio software." World applied sciences journal. World Applied Sciences Journal 17 (9): 1087-1094.
- [4] Dr. D D Higgins (2005); "Soil Stabilization with GGBS" UK cementations slag makers Association (CSMA) September.1-15
- [5] G Takhemaynum, Savitha A L, Krishna Gudi (2013). "Experimental studies on soil stabilization using Fine and coarse GGBS" International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced engineering (ISSN 2250-2459), volume 3, issue 3, 917-923.
- [6] K V Manjunath, L Govindaraju, P.V.Sivapullaih(2011); "Blast furnace slag for bulk geotechnical Application" Proceedings of Indian Geotechnical Conference, IGC-2011, Paper No.H-098,401-404.
- [7] K V Manjunath, Himnshu shekar, Manish Kumar, Prem Kumar and Rakesh Kumar; "Stabilization of Black Cotton Soil using Ground Granulated Blast

Furnace Slag". Proceedings of international conference on Advances in Architecture and Civil engineering (AARCV, 2012), volume 1, 387-390