WEIGHT OPTIMIZATION OF FTAC SECONDARY STRUCTURE OF **AN AIRCRAFT**

Syed KashifHussain¹, Abdul Nazeer²

¹Assistant Professor Department of Aeronautical Engineering, Khaja Banda Nawaz College of Engineering, Gulbarga, Karnataka, India ²Assistant Professor Department of Mechanical Engineering, Khaja Banda Nawaz College of Engineering, Gulbarga, Karnataka, India

Abstract

The Fuel Tank Access Covers (FTAC) primary function is to provide the aerodynamic surface on the lower wing at the Fuel Tank Access Manholes. These manholes are used in maintenance to access the inside of the wing box. The FTACs also provide sealing of the fuel bays. Fuel Tank Access Cover area include wings, control surfaces, aero engine nacelles, fan casings, etc. These are all safety critical components which may be subjected to high velocity impacts due to bird strike or foreign object damage (FOD). Typically on wing leading edges, nacelles, or on fan blades causing fan blade debris to impact fan casings and wing or fuselage structures. Analysis tools, such as finite element (FE) codes for predicting structural stiffness and strength of the structures under quasistatic loading with relevant materials data. The aim of the present work is to optimize the Fuel tank Access Cover. As the weight optimization of each component in the Aircraft plays as a significant role in the performance of the aircraft. The 3D modeling of fuel tank access plate is designing using PRO-E and FEA analysis especially structural analysis was done using ANSYS. The structural strength of the Inner Cover, Outer Cover, and Fasteners are validated.

Objectives

- To study about the FTAC design.
- To study various conditions which can be replicated to get the most approximate results?
- To demonstrate a CAD model of FTAC by using predominant software PRO (E).
- To develop a Finite Element Model of Fuel Tank Access Cover accurately to the dimensions with the limited information available as any company does not release all the designs data.

***_____

To determine the structural behavior of Fuel Tank Access Cover with different boundary conditions by using ANSYS software.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fuel tank access cover (outer FTAC) of an aircraft adapted for being used to cover the outer opening of a void area of a manhole for accessing the interior of a wing of an aircraft wherein the interior of the wing comprises a fuel tank comprises an explosion gases relief adapted for letting the explosion gases from the void area to the atmosphere. Outer FTAC according to claim wherein the relief comprises a frangible line located on the cover and calibrated for being ruptured once a predetermined level of pressure due to explosion gases reached.FTAC according to claim wherein the relief comprises of frangible region, preferably a disk, adapted to be opened once a predetermined level of pressure is reached.

Fuel tank access cover (FTAC) of an aircraft adapted for comprising clipping means for fixing the door to the FTAC wherein such door is adapted to pop-off and the clipping means are adapted to be broken or deflected once a predetermined level of pressure due to explosion gasses is reached. Wherein the the number of orifices are located in such a way that the orifices follow a direction according to the streamlines of the air flow when the aircraft is flying under cruise condition. FTAC is elongated showing two ends wherein it comprises two pluralities of orifices, which are cluster distributed as aline according to the stream line of the close to each end, providing a two hole pattern, one pattern in each end.

FTAC comprises a inner cover located in the inner side of the outer FTAC brtween which a chamber is enclosed such that : the open vent is in communication with the chamber and the chamber is also in communication with the void area by an opening.Inner cover of the FTAC comprises a pressure baffle such that the chamber is divided at least in two sub-chambers: a first sub-chamber in communication with the void area through the opening and a second subchamber in communication eith the first sub-chamber and also in communication with the open vent.

."Manholes in aircrafts provide access to the fuel tank. Manholes comprise an inner fuel tank access cover (inner FTAC), an outer FTAC and a void area between the two covers".

2. METHODOLOGY

Table 1 various stages of ANS 15			
PRE- PROCESSOR PHASE	SOLUTION PHASE	POST PROCESSOR PHASE	
Geometry definitions	Element matrix formulation	Post solution operation	
Mesh generation	Overall matrix triangulation	Post data print out	
Materials definition	Wave front	Post data display	
Constraint definition	Displacement, stress, etc.		
Load definition	Calculations		

 Table 1 various stages of ANSYS

3. GEOMETRICAL DESIGN FTAC

Component1



Fig. 1 design of comp1

Component2



Fig. 2 design of comp2

Component 3





Fig. 3 design of comp3

Component 4





Fig. 4 design of comp 4.

Table 2 Design dimensions							
Sl	Components	Outer	Inner	Depth of	Holes	Extrusion	Round
no.		diameter(mm)	diameter(mm)	plate(mm)	diameter(mm)	depth(mm)	(mm)
1	1	1000	800	50	50	-	-
2	2	1000	800	25	50	-	-
3	3	1000	800	25	-	20	5
4	4	800	700	-	-	-	-

ASSEMBLY:

 Set3 (User Defined) → Align ▲ (AXIS):F5(REVO) ▲ 1:A_1(AXIS):F5(EXTR) Mate New Constraint 	Constraint Enabled Constraint Type Align Flip Offset Coincident 0.00	A 13 A 13 A 14 A 19 A 14 A 19 A 14 A 19 A 14 A 19 A 14 A 19 A 19 A 19 A 19 A 19 A 19 A 19 A 19
New Set	Status Status Fully Constrained	



4. MATERIAL SPECIFICATION

Selection of aircraft materials depends on any considerations, which can in general be categorized as cost and structural performance

ALUMINUM ALLOY 2024-T3 AND

STRUCTURAL STEEL

Table 3 : Material properties used for the analysis.

Property	Aluminum 2024-T3	Structural steel
Density	2.77 g/cm3	$7,800 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Ultimate Tensile Strength	483 MPa	450MPa
Tensile Yield Strength	362 MPa	345MPa
Young's Modulus	72 GPa	200 Gpa
Poisson's Ratio	0.33	0.33
FractureToughness	72.37 MPa√m	54 MPa√m

5. STRUCTURAL ANALYSISALUMINUM ALLOY 2024-T3

At Load 2000N





Fig.6 Total deformation (mm) & equivalent stress (mpa) at 2000n load.







Fig.7 Total deformation (mm) & equivalent stress (mpa) at 4000n load.

STRUCTURAL STEEL

At Load 2000



At Load 4000



Geometry Print Preview Report Preview



Fig 8 equivalent stress and total deformation at 4000

6. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

AL ALLOY 2024-T3

 Table 4: equivalent stress and total deformation at different

 load condition

Total Conditioni			
S.NO	LOAD (n)	EQUIVALENT STRESS (mpa)	TOTAL DEFORMATION (mm)
1	2000	0.3607	0.0019874
2	4000	0.73815	0.0027327

STRUCTURAL STEEL

Table 5: Structural steel			
S.NO	LOAD	EQUIVALENT STRESS	TOTAL DEFORMATION
1	2000	0.38148	0.0064532
2	4000	0.76835	0.00099368

7. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE

The objective of our project is to design and analysis of fuel tank access plate was completed by using advanced modeling software pro-e and ansys. Stress analysis of a fuel tank access cover is carried out, the max tensile stress identified at the bottom of the skin (@rivet hole). Modal analysis done for natural frequency with aluminium alloy 2024-T3, structural steel and total deformation was completed and structural analysis was done at different load condition from 2000N to 4000N, verified the respective conditions of access plate.

Future scope -I have considered the peak load conditions for analysis over fuel tank access cover, by that stresses achieved and by the material property of alloy, we can suggest the best material alloy for the manufacturing.

REFERENCES

[1]. http://www.seabuilt.com/demo.php

[2]. http://www.ebay.com/item/Aircraft-shaw-Aero-416-50-fuel-tank-gas-cap-piper-Beech-cessna-

/251641932521?pt=Motors_Aviationpartsgear&hash=item3 a97072ae9

[3]. Richard A Everett Jr., "*The effects of load sequencing* on the fatigue life of 2024-T3 Aluminium alloy". International Journal of Fatigue.

[4]. Aircraft structures by T H G Megsan.

[5]. Structure And Properties Of Engineering Materials by V. S. R. Murthy.

[6]. Introduction ToAnsys 10.0by R.B. Choudary.

[7]. E. F. Bruhn, Analysis and Design of Flight Vehicle Structures, Jacobs Publishing Company, Arizona, USA.

[8]. Aircraft Design: a conceptual approach by Daniel P. Raymer, President, conceptual research corporation, Sylmar, California.