CORRELATION BETWEEN UNCONFINED COMPRRESSIVE STRENGTH AND INDIRECT TENSILE STRENGTH FOR JOINTED ROCKS

N. Kabilan¹, M. Muttharam²

¹Former PG Student, Department of Civil Engineering, CEG Anna University, Tamil Nadu, India
²Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, CEG Anna University, Tamil Nadu, India
+919840153098, kabilankp@yahoo.com

Abstract
Design Process in rock is very tedious because of its different nature compared to other engineering materials due to its distinct joint conditions like orientation, roughness, location & frequency affect the strength of jointed rock. Experimenting indirect tensile strength is very fast comparing with unconfined compressive strength. Material was created using plaster of Paris and water to simulate as jointed rocks. Three joint conditions were considered for evaluating the unconfined compressive strength using indirect tensile strength (joint orientation, joint roughness and number of joints). The model was prepared joint roughness using galvanized sheet, saw toothed blade and thin layer of bentonite clay in between the joints. By using multiple linear regression analysis unconfined compressive strength was predicted using indirect tensile strength. There is less number of research work carried out using jointed rocks for correlating unconfined compressive strength with indirect tensile strength. The present investigation was carried out to develop a relation between indirect tension and uniaxial compressive strength.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Rock engineers commonly use the unconfined compressive strength [UCS] of rocks for designing the underground structures. The standardized ASTM and ISRM are used to measure the rock strength generally [6-9]. Above method to determine the compressive strength (UCS) is time consuming and expensive. Field tests such as point load index [IL0] and indirect tension strength are used to predict the UCS indirectly. These tests are preferred due to its simple work in sample preparation and easy to perform the test within less duration. The Present work is to create an relationship for unconfined compressive strength & indirect tension strength for jointed rocks. To simulate jointed rocks, mixture of plaster of Paris and water was used as model materials. Vekinis et al. [13] says that plaster of Paris is a brittle, porous solid, easy to shape, which has potential as a model material for the study of brittle, porous, solids such as ceramics, rock and cement. The three joint conditions were considered and they are smooth joints, rough joints and join to fill with gauge. To simulate these conditions in model specimen thin galvanized sheet, was toothed blade and thin layer of bentonite clay in between the joints were used. The data was analyzed statistically to determine the degree of correlation and the variability of results.

2. PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS

Many researchers have been conducted to show that UCS is related to point load index and indirect tension test [1-3], [10-12]. According Farah [1], indirect tensile strength has a better correlation with UCS then that of point load strength. He conducted a comprehensive study to obtain correlations between UCS and physical properties of weathered Ocala limestone. Kaharaman et al. [3] proposed a study on compressive and tensile strength of different types of rocks. Altindag and Guney [4] conducted a wide study on different types of rocks to bring out strong correlation.

Table -1: Correlation between UCS and BIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>R of R²</th>
<th>Rock Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farah (2011)</td>
<td>UCS (psi) = 5.11 * BTS – 133.86</td>
<td>R² = 0.68</td>
<td>Weathered limestone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tahiretal (2011)</td>
<td>UCS (MPa) = 7.53 * BTS</td>
<td>R² = 0.44</td>
<td>Limestone samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kahraman et al. (2012)</td>
<td>UCS (MPa) = 10.61 * BTS</td>
<td>R² = 0.5</td>
<td>Different types of rock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>including limestone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altindag and Guney (2010)</td>
<td>UCS (MPa) = 12.38 * BTS¹⁰²⁵</td>
<td>R = 0.39</td>
<td>Different types of rock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>including limestone</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Sample Preparation
To simulate jointed rocks, mixture of plaster of Paris and water was used as a model material. Various moulds for different orientation of joint with respect to major principal stress viz. 0°, 10°, 30°, 45°, 60°, 90° were prepared separately. The inner diameter of the mould is 50mm and height is 100mm. Required amount of water was added to achieve a water content of 38%, which is the optimum moisture content to achieve maximum UCC for intact specimen.

3.2 Making Joints In Specimens

To simulate jointed rocks, mixture of plaster of Paris and water used as a model material. Various moulds for different orientation of joint with respect to major principal stress viz. 0°, 30°, 45°, 60° and 90° were prepared separately.

Samples were casted in the mould using kneading compaction technique. Proper care was taken to expel any air voids etc. After casting, required joint orientation was created by cutting along pre-existing joints in the prepared equipment used to make a Joints for different orientation. In this three joint condition were considered and they are smooth joints, rough joint, and joint filled with fines.

3.3 Test Procedures

The unconfined compressive strength was determined as per International society for rock mechanics [ISRM]. The jointed rock samples were prepared with smooth joint, rough joint and joint filled with gauge. And the samples had length to diameter ratio of 2 and met the tolerance limits of 2 to 2.5 with flat, smooth and parallel ends cut perpendicularly to the cylinder axis. The unconfined compressive testing machine used for conducting the experiment is shown in Figure 1. The jointed rock samples were prepared with smooth joint, rough joint and joint filled with a gauge. For each joint condition three trail specimens were tested.

3.4 Regression Analysis by SPSS Software

The variability of results for each test and joint conditions was evaluated by determining the coefficient of variation. The results of the uniaxial compression test were correlated with tension strength test results and joint conditions using the method of multiple regression analysis. The equations of the best fit curves, the 95% confidence limits, and the correlation coefficients ($R^2$) were determined for each case. These equations could be used to predict the uniaxial compressive strength from the results of the Indirect tension strength and joint condition.

4. TEST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Analysis of Unconfined Compressive Strength Results

Variation of UCS with joint orientation for different joint condition is plotted in Figure 3 and Figure 4.
For the joint oriented at $30^\circ$, the specimen showed lesser value of UCS compared to other joint orientation. This may be due to the fact that the sample tends to fail along the weaker plane, in the present case the existing joint orientation which is the weakest plane, is unfavorable orientation which makes the sample to offer less resistance. On comparing the UCS of smooth joint with that of clay filled joint, the UCS values gets reduced about 83.1% for $30^\circ$ orientation, 86.22% for $45^\circ$ orientation, 49.9% for $60^\circ$ orientation and 36.22% for $90^\circ$ orientation. This may be due to the reduction in friction of joint because of the plastic nature of clay filled in joint.

4.2 Analysis of Indirect Tension Results

Relationship between tensile strength (Indirect Tension) and joint orientation for different joint condition is plotted in Figure 5 and Figure 6.

In joint condition, $0^\circ$ orientations shows higher tensile strength compared to other joint orientation. This behavior is may be because the direction of loading is perpendicular to joint orientation.

For the joint orientation at $90^\circ$, the specimen showed lesser value of indirect tension. This may be due to the loading direction is parallel to joint orientation.

5. ANALYSIS OF UCS & INDIRECT TENSION

By plotting UCS against Indirect Tension it was found that there are two major groups showing distinct trend [Figure 7]. The two rock groups identified are listed in Table 2. The correlation equations for Group A jointed rocks and Group B jointed rocks are given below as equations 1 and 2 respectively.

$$UCS = 2.45 \times I.T + 0.45 \times J.C - 0.83 \quad J.O \leq 45^\circ$$  \[1\]

Table -2: Jointed Rock Groups Identified by Scatter Plot of UCS and Indirect Tension

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jointed Rock Group A</th>
<th>Jointed Rock Group B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0^\circ$, $30^\circ$ and $45^\circ$ Joint Orientation</td>
<td>$60^\circ$ and $90^\circ$ Joint Orientation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UCS$= 2.45 \times I.T + 0.45 \times J.C - 0.83 \quad J.O \leq 45^\circ$  \[1\]
J.C – rough – 1, smooth – 0.88, filled -0.26
UCS = -1.56 I.T + 3.28 J.C -0.12 \quad J.O > 45^\circ \quad [2]
J.C – rough – 1, smooth – 0.9, filled -0.49

Where,
UCS – Unconfined compressive strength
I.T - Indirect Tension Strength
J.C – Joint Condition
J.O - Joint Orientation

In Figure 8 & 9 we can check the accuracy of created equation 1& 2.

\begin{align*}
\text{UCS} & = -1.56 \times \text{I.T} + 3.28 \times \text{J.C} -0.12 \quad \text{for} \quad J.O > 45^\circ \quad [2] \\
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
\text{UCS} & = -1.56 \times \text{I.T} + 3.28 \times \text{J.C} -0.9 \quad \text{for} \quad J.O = 45^\circ \\
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
\text{UCS} & = -1.56 \times \text{I.T} + 3.28 \times \text{J.C} -0.49 \quad \text{for} \quad J.O < 45^\circ \\
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
\text{UCS} & = -2.4 \times \text{I.T} + 3.5 \times \text{J.C} -0.26 \quad \text{for} \quad J.O > 45^\circ \\
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
\text{UCS} & = -1.56 \times \text{I.T} + 3.28 \times \text{J.C} -0.12 \quad \text{for} \quad J.O < 45^\circ \\
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
\text{UCS} & = -2.4 \times \text{I.T} + 3.5 \times \text{J.C} -0.9 \quad \text{for} \quad J.O = 45^\circ \\
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
\text{UCS} & = -1.56 \times \text{I.T} + 3.28 \times \text{J.C} -0.49 \quad \text{for} \quad J.O < 45^\circ \\
\end{align*}

CONCLUSIONS

The unconfined compressive strength tests and Indirect Tension tests were carried out on various joint orientations with different joint condition. Finally the equation relating both UCS & Indirect Tension for jointed rocks are formed using Multiple Regression Analysis (SPSS Analyzing Tool) In future the equation can be formed by adopting various more joint conditions. By this we can predict the UCS value with more accurate using field indirect tension test.
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