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Abstract

The Aim of present study “Analysis and design of high rise building by staad pro 2008” is to define proper technique for creating Geometry, cross sections for column and beam etc, developing specification and supports conditions, types of Loads and load combinations. In this study a 30-storey high rise structure is analyzed for seismic and wind load combination using staad pro 2008 and comparison is drawn.

Keywords: Analysis, Geometry, Structure, Wind load

1. INTRODUCTION

With the immense increase in population, demand of land keeps on mounting which in turn leads the responsibility of civil engineer to greater extent. Earlier Horizontal system of construction was in use but now a day’s vertical system of construction is preferred more due to a lesser amount of ground existing. In multistoried buildings one should apprehension about all the forces acting on a structure, its self weight as well as the SBC. Good quality of beam column reinforcement should be used to counter react the external forces satisfactorily acting on a structure. The soil beneath the structure should be hard enough to distribute the load uniformly to the foundation. Deep foundation is preferred for loose soil. As number of floors keeps on increasing, manual calculations process becomes tedious, consumes more time and there are chances of human errors as well.

1.1 Advantages of STAAD pro

2. Broad Spectra of Design Codes.
3. International Best Seller.
4. Interoperability and Open Architecture.
5. Covering All Aspects of Structural Engineering.
7. Extremely Scalable.
8. Easy Reports and Documentation.

1.2 Loads and Load Combinations

Loads considered:
Dead load: the load due to its self weight
Live load: for residential building live load is taken as KN/m²
Wind load: the load due to wind intensities.
Seismic load: the load due to acceleration response of the ground to the super structure

2. CALCULATION OF LOADS

According to IS code:

FOR DEAD LOAD CALCULATIONS,
Unit weight of brick masonry = 19.2 kN/m³
Unit weight of RCC = 25 kN/m³
FLOOR FINISHES =2kN/m² on each floor and (-1.5kN/m²) on roof. (negative sign indicates its acting on downward direction.)

3. Wind load calculation: AS PER IS CODE 875 PART 3
4. Seismic load calculation: AS PER IS-CODE 1893(part 1)

2.1 Load Combination

Load combination for Static analysis:
• 1.5(DL + IL)
• 1.2(DL + IL ± EL)
• 1.5(DL ± EL)
• 0.9 DL ± 1.5 EL

Load combination for For dynamic analysis:
• DL +LL
• DL+WL
• DL+0.8LL+0.8WL
3. DETAILS OF THE STRUCTURE

3.1 Case 1. Structure Analyzed For Seismic Load +Live Load+ Dead Load Combination.
- Multi-storey plane frame with fixed joint is considered for the present study
- Seismic zone II is considered
- Number of stories 30, (G+29)
- Floor height considered is 3.00m
- 4 No of bays with 5.00m bay length is considered.
- Grade of concrete considered is M35 and grade of steel considered is Fe 415
- Size of column- 800mm x 800mm
- Size of Beam- 300mm x 450mm
- Depth of Slab- 125 mm thick
- Medium soil is considered
- Response spectra analysis is carried out As per IS 1893.

3.2 Analysis and Results

### Table 1- Shear Bending of beams and columns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Distance (m)</th>
<th>Fy (KN)</th>
<th>Mz (kip-in)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BEAM 1632</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-8.440</td>
<td>-189.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEAM 1042</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-40.806</td>
<td>-903.712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEAM 79</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-22.805</td>
<td>-504.629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLUMN 1948</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>13.739</td>
<td>57.642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLUMN 130</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>37.535</td>
<td>1319.674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLUMN 715</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>29.041</td>
<td>437.253</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig 2- Shear bending of BEAM 1632](image)

### Table 2- Deflection in Beams and Columns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Distance (m)</th>
<th>Displacement (in)</th>
<th>Global Deflection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BEAM 1632</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.890</td>
<td>X direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEAM 1042</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.256</td>
<td>X direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEAM 79</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>X direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLUMN 1948</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.809</td>
<td>X direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLUMN 130</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>X direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLUMN 715</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.527</td>
<td>X direction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig 3- Deflection in BEAM 1632](image)
4.0 CASE 2. STRUCTURE ANALYZED FOR WIND LOAD + LIVE LOAD + DEAD LOAD COMBINATION.

- Same building is considered for the study and wind analysis is carried out as per IS 875.
- Basic wind speed As per IS 875 (PART 3), 50 m/s for CTC
- As per IS 875 (PART 3), Wind intensity and height considered is 1.5 kN/m² at a height 90 m in CTC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Distance (m)</th>
<th>F_Y (KKn)</th>
<th>Mz (kip-in)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BEAM 1632</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-15,467</td>
<td>-353,557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEAM 1042</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-113,008</td>
<td>-2505,793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEAM 79</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-128,012</td>
<td>-2833,974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLUM 1948</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>29,212</td>
<td>242,395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLUM 130</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>164,378</td>
<td>4233,017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLUM 715</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>95,204</td>
<td>1237,146</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. CONCLUSIONS

It can be clearly observed that when a 30-storey high rise structure with same beam and column size is analyzed and designed for static and dynamic loads:
1) The top beam of the structure requires more reinforcement in case 1 compared to case 2. Hence it reveals that more reinforcement is required in static analysis than dynamic analysis
2) Deflection and shear bending is more in dynamic analysis compared to static analysis
3) In lower beams more reinforcement is required for dynamic loads compared to static loads.
4) For columns, area of steel and percentage of steel is always greater for dynamic load combination compared to static load combination.
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