# **OUANTUM CHEMICAL APPROACH ON THE MOLECULAR** STRUCTURAL PROPERTIES OF SOME AMINOPYRIDINES

P.Azantha Parimala<sup>1\*</sup>, R.Madivanane<sup>2</sup>, A.Tinabaye<sup>3</sup>, V.Pouchaname<sup>4</sup>, Angeline Xavier<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Research and Development, Prist University, Thanjavur, Tamilnadu, India <sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, Tagore Arts College, Puducherry, India. <sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Mahatma Gandhi Govt. Arts College, Mahe, India. <sup>3,4</sup>Department of Chemistry, Bharathidasan college for women, Puducherry, India. <sup>5</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, Pondicherry Engineering College, Puducherry, India \*Email: azanthaparimala@gmail.com

## Abstract

The quantum chemical calculations by density functional theory method (DFT) with 6-311G + +(d, p) basis set were carried out to compute the parameters such as optimized geometry, dipole moment, mullikan atomic charge, HOMO-LUMO energies, natural bond analysis, polarizability, first order hyperpolarizability and hence non-linear optical properties of 2-aminopyridine, 3-aminopyridine, 4-aminopyridine and 3,4-diaminopyridine. Total electron density mapped with molecular electrostatic potential surface, contour map with electrostatic potential surface have been constructed by Gaussian 09W package program to predict the reactive sites in the molecules. The study is extended to determine the thermodynamic properties like total energy, zero point vibrational energy, enthalpy, heat capacity and entropy of the chosen aminopyridines. Correlation fitting equations of thermodynamic properties with respect to temperature were also framed for the chosen molecules from 50K to 1000K.

\*\*\*

Keywords: Aminopyridines, HOMO-LUMO, DFT, NLO, NBO Analysis.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

Aminopyridine has three mono positional isomers named as 2-aminopyridine (2AP), 3-aminopyridine (3AP).4aminopyridine (4AP). Amino pyridines contribute wide number of applications in the field of pharmacological and agricultural industries. 2-aminopyridine can act as an intermediate for the synthesis of pharmaceutical such as antihistamines, anti-inflammatory and other drugs [1]. 3amonopyridine also found to be an intermediate for the synthesis of anti-inflammatory drugs like Piroxicam, Tenoxicam, Ampiroxicam and colourants[2]. 4amonopyridine is an effective medicine in the treatment for multiple sclerosis and the 4AP-therapy shows improvement in sensory, motor and pulmonary function with a decrease in spasticity and pain in the patients who are suffering from spinal-cord injury [3-5]. Di-aminopyridine such as 3, 4diaminopyridine (34DAP) also discussed in the present study as it plays an effective role in anti-tumour activity, immunosuppressing and symptomatic treatment of multiple sclerosis [6]. The extensive uses of these aminopyridines kindled the interest to study the detailed theoretical investigation of the molecular structural properties. Several authors reported the anharmonic vibrational analysis of certain aminopyridines and their derivatives in the earlier studies[7-12]. Thus the main emphasis of the present study is the analysis of the theoretical study of the physical and chemical properties of the chosen aminopyridines which may provide the knowledge about the significance of the drug materials by applying little modifications in their structure.

# 2. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

Quantum mechanical calculations were carried out for aminopyridines by using the version 8 of Gaussian 09W (revision B.01) program [13]. The optimization of the chosen molecules were completed by the DFT calculation of Becke-3-Lee-Yang-Parr hybrid exchange correlation three parameter functional ,B3LYP [14,15] with 6-311G++(d, p)basis set. Self-consistent energy(SCF), dipole moment  $(\mu^0)$ ,total polarizability  $(\alpha^0)$ ,first order hyperpolarisibility  $(\beta_{tot})$ , thermodynamic properties, energy distribution in frontier molecular orbitals[16], atomic charges and molecular electrostatic potential surface were estimated at DFT/B3LYP/6-311G++(d, p) framework and it was done on Intel(R)Core(TM) i5-3210M/2.50 processor. GHz Molecular electro static potential surface mapping, contour mapping and HOMO-LUMO orbital energies were analyzed through animation options of Gauss View 5.0.8 visualization program [17].

# **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## 3.1 Analysis of Geometric Parameters

The optimized geometry of the chosen molecules which are energetically most stable and obtained by B3LYP/6-311G++(d, p) level with C1 point group symmetry of 2AP,3AP,4AP and 34DAP are shown in Fig .1-4 respectively along with the scheme of numbering. The computed bond parameters of the preferred optimized geometry of the chosen molecules at DFT level with 6-311G+ + (d, p) basis set are summarized in the Table-1in addition to the experimental parameters [18] for comparative purpose.

2-Aminopy	ridine	al Parameter 3-Aminopy	ridine	<u>, 3AP, 4AP &amp;</u> 4-Aminop	vridine	calculated at B. 3,4-Diamino	<u>g++(d, p) level</u> Experimental <sup>a</sup>	
Bond length(Å)		Bond length( Å)		Bond leng	th ( Å)	Bond len	Bond length( Å)	
N <sub>1</sub> -C2	1.338	N <sub>1</sub> -C <sub>2</sub>	1.330	N <sub>1</sub> -C <sub>2</sub>	1.338	N <sub>1</sub> -C <sub>2</sub>	1.320	1 244
<sup>1</sup> N <sub>1</sub> -C <sub>6</sub>	1.337	$N_1-C_6$	1.337	N <sub>1</sub> -C <sub>6</sub>	1.338	$N_1 - C_6$	1.320	1.344
$C_2-C_3$	1.409	$C_2-C_3$	1.406	$C_2-C_3$	1.389	$C_2-C_3$	1.383	1.342
C <sub>3</sub> -H <sub>8</sub>	1.084	C <sub>2</sub> -H <sub>9</sub>	1.089	C <sub>2</sub> -H <sub>8</sub>	1.087	C <sub>2</sub> -H <sub>9</sub>	1.076	0.95
C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub>	1.384	C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub>	1.400	C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub>	1.403	C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub>	1.398	1 415
C <sub>2</sub> -N <sub>7</sub>	1.383	C <sub>3</sub> -N <sub>7</sub>	1.394	$C_4-N_7$	1.383	C <sub>3</sub> -N <sub>7</sub>	1.425	1 405
C <sub>4</sub> -C <sub>5</sub>	1.398	C <sub>4</sub> -C <sub>5</sub>	1.389	C <sub>4</sub> -C <sub>5</sub>	1.403	C <sub>4</sub> -C <sub>5</sub>	1.393	1.395
C <sub>4</sub> -H <sub>9</sub>	1.085	C <sub>4</sub> -H <sub>8</sub>	1.086	C3-H9	1.085			
C <sub>5</sub> -C <sub>6</sub>	1.390	C <sub>5</sub> -C <sub>6</sub>	1.393	C <sub>5</sub> -C <sub>6</sub>	1.389	C <sub>5</sub> -C <sub>6</sub>	1.379	1.383
C <sub>5</sub> -H <sub>10</sub>	1.083	C <sub>5</sub> -H <sub>10</sub>	1.084	C <sub>5</sub> -H <sub>10</sub>	1.085	C <sub>5</sub> -H <sub>10</sub>	1.076	0.95
C <sub>6</sub> -H <sub>11</sub>	1.087	C <sub>6</sub> -H <sub>11</sub>	1.085	C <sub>6</sub> -H <sub>11</sub>	1.087	C <sub>6</sub> -H <sub>11</sub>	1.077	0.95
$N_{7}-H_{12}$	1.009	N <sub>7</sub> -H <sub>12</sub>	1.009	$N_{7}-H_{12}$	1.008	N <sub>7</sub> -H <sub>12</sub>	0.999	0.9
N <sub>7</sub> -H <sub>13</sub>	1.008	N <sub>7</sub> -H <sub>13</sub>	1.009	N <sub>7</sub> -H <sub>13</sub>	1.008	N <sub>7</sub> -H <sub>13</sub>	0.999	0.9
						C <sub>4</sub> -N <sub>8</sub>	1.381	1.359
						N <sub>8</sub> -H <sub>14</sub>	0.995	0.9
						N <sub>8</sub> -H <sub>15</sub>	0.994	0.9
Bond ang	le (°)	Bond an	gle (°)	Bond ang	gle (°)	Bond angle (°)		Experimental <sup>a</sup>
$C_2 - N_1 - C_6$	118.03	$C_2 - N_1 - C_6$	118.23	$C_2 - N_1 - C_6$	116.05	$C_2-N_1-C_6$	116.61	115.69
$N_1 - C_2 - C_3$	122.45	$N_1 - C_2 - C_3$	124.00	N <sub>1</sub> -C <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>3</sub>	124.49	$N_1 - C_2 - C_3$	125.09	125.4
$N_1 - C_2 - N_7$	116.27	$N_1 - C_2 - H_9$	116.45	N <sub>1</sub> -C <sub>2</sub> -H <sub>8</sub>	116.03	$N_1 - C_2 - H_9$	117.02	117.3
C3-C2-N7	121.24	C3-C <sub>2</sub> -H <sub>9</sub>	119.55	C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>2</sub> -H <sub>8</sub>	119.48	C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>2</sub> -H <sub>9</sub>	117.90	117.3
C2-C3-C4	118.41	C2-C3-C4	117.07	C <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub>	119.02	C <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub>	117.69	118.1
C <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>3</sub> -H <sub>8</sub>	120.42	$C_2-C_3-N_7$	120.95	C <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>3</sub> -H <sub>9</sub>	120.22	$C_2-C_3-N_7$	118.89	119.63
$C_4$ - $C_3$ - $H_8$	121.17	C <sub>4</sub> -C <sub>3</sub> -N <sub>7</sub>	121.92	C <sub>4</sub> -C <sub>3</sub> -H <sub>9</sub>	120.77	$C_4$ - $C_3$ - $N_7$	123.41	122.2
C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> -C <sub>5</sub>	119.53	C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> -C <sub>5</sub>	119.02	C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> -C <sub>5</sub>	116.94	C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> -C <sub>5</sub>	117.54	116.7
C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> -H <sub>9</sub>	119.92	$C_3$ - $C_4$ - $H_8$	120.25	C <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> -N <sub>7</sub>	121.51	$C_3$ - $C_4$ - $N_8$	121.24	122.3
C <sub>5</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> -H <sub>9</sub>	120.54	$C_5$ - $C_4$ - $H_8$	120.73	C <sub>5</sub> -C <sub>4</sub> -N <sub>7</sub>	121.51	$C_5$ - $C_4$ - $N_8$	121.17	121.0
$C_4$ - $C_5$ - $C_6$	117.55	$C_4-C_5-C_6$	119.23	C <sub>4</sub> -C <sub>5</sub> -C <sub>6</sub>	119.02	$C_4-C_5-C_6$	118.94	120.4
$C_4-C_5-H_{10}$	121.69	$C_4 - C_5 - H_{10}$	120.50	$C_4$ - $C_5$ - $H_{10}$	120.76	$C_4$ - $C_5$ - $H_{10}$	120.73	119.8
C <sub>6</sub> -C <sub>5</sub> -H <sub>10</sub>	120.76	C <sub>6</sub> -C <sub>5</sub> -H <sub>10</sub>	120.27	$C_6-C_5-H_{10}$	120.22	$C_6-C_5-H_{10}$	120.34	119.8
$N_1$ - $C_6$ - $C_5$	124.02	$N_1 - C_6 - C_5$	122.44	$N_1-C_6-C_5$	124.49	$N_1 - C_6 - C_5$	124.12	123.7
N <sub>1</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> -H <sub>11</sub>	115.54	N <sub>1</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> -H <sub>11</sub>	116.57	$N_1$ - $C_6$ - $H_{11}$	116.03	$N_1$ - $C_6$ - $H_{11}$	116.32	118.1
C <sub>5</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> -H <sub>11</sub>	120.44	C <sub>5</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> -H <sub>11</sub>	120.99	C <sub>5</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> -H <sub>11</sub>	119.48	C <sub>5</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> -H <sub>11</sub>	119.55	118.1
C2-N7-H12	114.64	C3-N7-H12	115.88	$C_4$ - $N_7$ - $H_{12}$	117.40	$C_3-N_7-H_{12}$	113.43	113.6
C <sub>3</sub> -N <sub>7</sub> -H <sub>13</sub>	117.85	C <sub>3</sub> -N <sub>7</sub> -H <sub>13</sub>	116.13	$C_4$ - $N_7$ - $H_{13}$	117.41	C <sub>3</sub> -N <sub>7</sub> -H <sub>13</sub>	113.93	115.1
$H_{12}$ - $N_7$ - $H_{13}$	115.25	H <sub>12</sub> -N <sub>7</sub> -H <sub>13</sub>	112.45	H <sub>12</sub> -N <sub>7</sub> -H <sub>13</sub>	114.09	$H_{12}$ - $N_7$ - $H_{13}$	110.04	113.4
						$C_4-N_8-H_{14}$	115.86	115.7
						C <sub>4</sub> -N <sub>8</sub> -H <sub>15</sub>	116.29	118.3
						H <sub>14</sub> -N <sub>8</sub> -H <sub>15</sub>	113.03	120.9

#### <sup>a</sup>Values taken from Ref.[18]

Molecular symmetry is a fundamental concept as it is a tool to predict the properties such as dipole moment, planar structure of aromatic ring and electronic transitions in the molecules. It is observed that the mean C-C bond distance estimated in between the carbon atoms of the pyridine ring are found to be 1.395Å, 1.397 Å, 1.396 Å and 1.388 Å for 2AP, 3AP, 4AP and 34DAP respectively and these values are not significantly deviated from one another although they contain –NH<sub>2</sub> group at different positions. The mean C-N bond length in the aromatic ring also calculated as 1.337 Å, 1.334 Å, 1.338 Å and 1.320 Å in 2AP, 3AP, 4AP and 34DAP respectively which are found to be slightly lesser than the experimental (1.343 Å) value.

The exo-bond C-N<sub>7</sub> distance are computed as 1.383 Å, 1.394 Å and 1.383 Å for 2AP, 3AP and 4AP respectively and it is observed that the bond length in 2AP and 4AP are found to be equal and relatively low when compared with 3AP.This can be explained with the fact that the 2AP & 4AP exhibit imine-enamine tautomerism [19] and hence the aromatic ring  $\pi$ -electrons get delocalized with C-N<sub>7</sub> bond consequently the single bond resonates as C=N<sub>7</sub> so that the bond length of C-N<sub>7</sub> in 2AP and 4AP are lowered to certain extent.



Fig-1: Optimized structure of 2-Aminopyridine



Fig-2: Optimized structure of 3-Aminopyridine



Fig-3: Optimized structure of 4-Aminopyridine



Fig-4: Optimized structure of 3, 4-Diaminopyridine



Imine-Enamine Tautomerism in 2AP and 4AP

Whereas in di-substituted aminopyridine, 34DAP, the  $C_3-N_7$ and  $C_4-N_8$  bond lengths are measured as 1.425 Å and 1.381 Å respectively and even in this case also the  $C_4-N_8$  bond length found to be at lower end due to imine-enamine tautomerism while the  $C_3-N_7$  bond length just exists as such without any resonance like 3AP.

All possible N-H bond length in the chosen aminopyridines are found to be within the range of 0.999 Å - 1.009 Å and no marked positional effect is observed with respect to amino group.

The calculated bond angles in all the amino pyridines are observed to be slightly towards lower end than the experimental values. The exo bond angles N1-C2-N7 and C3-C2-N7 in 2AP are found to be 116.27° and 121.24° respectively and in the case of 3AP, the exo angles such as C2-C3-N7 and C4-C3-N7 are obtained as 120.95 ° and 121.92 ° respectively .In the same way ,in 4AP, the exo angles, C3-C4-N7 and C5-C4-N7 show same value 121.51° whereas C2-C3-N7/C4-C3-N7 and C3-C4-N8/C5-C4-N8 in 34DP are calculated as 118.89  $^{\rm o}$  /123.41  $^{\rm o}$  and 121.24  $^{\rm o}$ /121.17 ° respectively. The exo bond angles in these cases are found to be almost equal to 120 ° /121 °, hence the presence of -NH<sub>2</sub> substituent in different positions of the pyridine ring leads not a significant distortion in the exo bond angles except in few cases such as N1-C2-N7 in 2 AP and C2-C3-N7 in 34DAP which are observed to be lesser than ideal value 120Å and measured as 116.27° and 118.89° respectively but these computed values satisfactorily accede with the experimental value. The deviation in the bond angle N1-C2-N7 (116.27 °) occur because of the involvement of different hybridizations in the atoms  $N_1$ , C2 and N7 (N1-sp<sup>2</sup>, C2-sp<sup>2</sup> and N7-sp<sup>3</sup>) [20 ] in addition to the presence of unshared electron pairs on both the nitrogen and thereby bond angle is reduced by 3.73° than the expected angle (120°). Similarly, the distortion in the bond angle C2-C3-N7 (118.89 °) in 34DAP can be explained with the steric repulsion between the -NH<sub>2</sub> groups present in the adjacent carbon atoms (C3 & C4) in 34DAP.

The C-C-C and C-N-C bond angles in the pyridine ring are found to be in the range of  $116.05^{\circ}$  to  $119.53^{\circ}$  which indicates that the presence of -NH2 group as substituents in the different positions do not influence the bond angles of the aromatic ring of aminopyridines whereas the C5-C6-N1 and N1-C2-C3 bond angles are obtained in the range of  $122.00^{\circ}$  to  $125.09^{\circ}$  which are observed to be more than the other C-C-C bond angles inside the ring because of the lone pair –bond pair repulsion that give a significant deviation in all the aminopyridine. In general, the bond angle parameters of the pyridine ring and amino group have good agreement with the reported experimental values.

# 3.2 Frontier Molecular Orbital Analysis

The chemical reactivity and also the stability the molecules have been associated with energy between highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) [21]. HOMO is the orbital which represents the ability to donate an electron and LUMO is the orbital that represents the capacity to accept an electron. The HOMO energy is directly related to the ionization potential  $(I = -E_{HOMO})$  whereas the LUMO energy is correlated to electron affinity (A = - E  $_{LUMO}$ ). In addition, with the aid of HOMO- LUMO energy gap, the global hardness of the molecule,  $\eta = 1/2(E_{LUMO} - E_{HOMO})$ , electronic potential  $\mu {=}1/2(E_{\rm HOMO}$  +  $E_{\rm LUMO}$  ) and global electrophilicity index  $\omega = \mu^2 / 2\eta$  are also calculated for the chosen molecules at B3LYP level with 6-311G++(d, p) basis set and summarized in Table-2 along with the energies of HOMO, LUMO, HOMO-1 and LUMO+1.



Fig-5: Frontier molecular orbitals (Homo and Lumo) of Aminopyridines at DFT level

The HOMO-LUMO energy gap of 2AP, 3AP, 4AP and 34DAP are computed as -0.2624 eV,-0.1915 eV, -0.2723 eV and -0.2828 eV at DFT method. It is observed that except 3AP, all the other three values of energy gap of aminopyridines are invariable. The low values of energy gap reveal that all the selected molecules are more polarizable with high chemical reactivity and possess low kinetic stability as well as soft molecules. The negative and positive phases of the homo and lumo are appeared as green and red in colour respectively as depicted in the Fig-5.A significant degree of an intra molecular charge transfer is expected from HOMO  $\rightarrow$  LUMO energy level as the estimated orbital energy gap is low at DFT level. It is visible from Fig-5 that the HOMO is located over the pyridine ring of all the aminopyridines and the LUMO resides on the amino groups of the molecules hence the intramolecular charge transfer takes place from pyridine ring to amino group.

# 3.3 Analysis of Mullikan Charge

The atomic population and charge distribution in the selected molecules at DFT/6-311G++ (d, p) level have been reported in the Table-3. The computation of effective atomic charges plays an important role in the application of quantum mechanical calculation of molecular system [22]. The atomic charges of nitrogen atoms are found to be

negative because of the presence of unshared pair of electrons and electronegativity nature of nitrogen whereas all the hydrogen atoms, C3 and C5 of aminopyridines in the present study have positive charges except 3AP. The C2 and C6 carbon atoms in the chosen amino pyridines experience negative charge as the lone pair of electrons oriented on the nitrogen atom of the pyridine ring which is flanked between these two carbon atoms. The variation in the charge distribution and magnitude of the atomic charges within the molecules are also attributed with the delocalization of pielectrons in the aromatic ring of the molecules.

#### 3.4 Analysis of Molecular Electrostatic Potential

The molecular electrostatic potential surface (MEPS) is a method of mapping of electrostatic potential with electron density which displays the charge distributions of the molecules in a three dimensional way, molecular shape, size and dipole moment of the molecule. Knowledge of the charge distributions provide information to decide reactive sites and hence to predict the nucleophilic and electrophilic behaviour of the molecules [23-25].

The total electron density mapped with electrostatic potential surface and the contour map of electrostatic potential surface of negative and positive potential of the chosen aminopyridines are constructed at B3LYP/6-311G++ (d, p) level and shown in Fig-6. The negative electrostatic potential in MEPS are shown as red in colour and the positive electrostatic potentials are as blue in colour while the areas with green colour are the surface where the potential energy is almost zero. In the present molecules, the red shade region is located over the nitrogen atoms which are the negative electrostatic potential whereas the blue region is located over the hydrogen atoms which are considered as the positive electrostatic potential. The green area is placed over the C-C and C-H bonds where the electrostatic potential closes to zero. In the present study, it is revealed that the aminopyridines may undergo nucleophilic attack at the site of nitrogen atoms in the molecules.

Table-2: Comparison of HOMO-LUMO Energy gaps and related molecular properties at DFT/6-311G++(d, p) level

Molecular	2-amino	3-amino	4-amino	3,4-diamino
properties	pyridine	pyridine	pyridine	pyridine
E <sub>LUMO+1</sub>	0.0237	0.0189	0.0163	0.0235
E <sub>LUMO</sub>	0.0061	-0.0344	0.0119	0.0215
E <sub>HOMO</sub>	-0.2563	-0.2259	-0.2604	-0.2613
E HOMO -1	-0.2628	-0.2590	-0.2606	-0.2630
Homo- lumo energy gap	-0.2624	-0.1915	-0.2723	-0.2828
Global hardness(η)	0.1412	0.1458	0.1362	0.1414
Electronic chemical potential( $\mu$ )	-0.1250	-0.1301	-0.1243	-0.1199
Global electrophilicity ( $\omega$ )	0.0553	0.0581	0.0567	0.0508

### Table-3: Mullikan charge distribution of Aminopyridines

Atom with				Atom with		
Numbering	2-amino pyridine	3-amino pyridine	4-amino pyridine	Numbering	3,4-diamino pyridine	
N1	-0.1386	-0.0741	-0.0932	N1	-0.0929	
C2	-0.5475	-0.2899	-0.5057	C2	-0.3473	
C3	0.5643	-0.1330	0.4333	C3	0.0448	
C4	-0.5335	0.1468	-0.5805	C4	-0.2975	
C5	0.0792	-0.0482	0.4332	C5	0.3870	
C6	-0.3133	-0.3975	-0.5057	C6	-0.4821	
N7	-0.2699	-0.3141	-0.2937	N7	-0.4467	
H8	0.1614	0.1603	0.1620	N8	-0.2776	
Н9	0.1624	0.1546	0.1546	Н9	0.2016	
H10	0.1568	0.1640	0.1546	H10	0.1542	
H11	0.1811	0.1590	0.1620	H11	0.1585	
H12	0.2631	0.2378	0.2396	H12	0.2503	
H13	0.2345	0.2343	0.2396	H13	0.2655	
				H14	0.2397	
				H15	0.2423	

### 3.5 Thermodynamic Properties

The values of some thermodynamic parameters such as thermal energy, heat capacity, rotational constant, dipole moment, enthalpy, entropy and zero point vibrational energy of all the chosen molecules are computed at ground state at DFT/B3LYP/6-311G++(d, p) level at 298.15 K and summarized in the Table-4. The self-consistent field (SCF) energy obtained for the optimized geometry at DFT framework also computed as -303.73907410 a.u..-303.838278 a.u., -303.73316560 a.u., -356.10778763 a. u., for 2AP,3AP,4AP and 34DAP respectively. As the SCF energy is found to be relatively more in the case of 34DAP, the later may show high stability factor than other aminopyridines. The thermodynamic functions especially heat capacity (Cp), entropy (S) and enthalpy(H) changes of the chosen molecules under investigation are estimated for a

wide range of temperature from 50K to 1000K and tabulated in Table-5 at DFT level. A linear increase of entropy(S<sub>m</sub>), heat capacity(C<sub>p,m</sub>) and enthalpy(H<sub>m</sub>) change as a function of temperature is observed and depicted as correlation graphic in Fig.7-9 [26]. The correlation equations of C<sub>p,m</sub>, S<sub>m</sub> and H<sub>m</sub> as a function of temperature were fitted by quadratic formulas and the corresponding fitting factors  $(\mathbf{R}^2)$  of these thermodynamic properties for the compounds under study are determined at DFT/6-311G++(d, p) framework and their fitting equations are given as:

For 2-aminopyridine,  $C_{p,m}^{o} = -0.7245 + 0.08975 \text{ T} - 5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ T}^2 (\text{R}^2 = 0.9972)$ H<sub>m</sub> S<sub>m</sub><sup>c</sup>

Table-4:	Thermochemical	properties of a	minopyridines at	B3LYP/6-311G++	(d, p) level

Theoretically computed Dipole moment (Debye), Energy (au), Zero point vibrational energy(kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>), Entropy (Cal mol<sup>-1</sup>K<sup>-1</sup>), Rotational temperature (Kelvin), Rotational constant (GHZ), Thermal energy (kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) and Molar capacity at constant volume (Cal mol<sup>-1</sup>Kelvin<sup>-1</sup>)

Parameters	2-amino pyridine	3-amino pyridine	4-amino pyridine	3,4-diamino pyridine								
Dipole moment (Debye)	2.1198	3.3934	4.1154	3.6542								
Total energy (a.u)	-303.73907410	-303.72838278	-303.73316560	-359.10778763								
Zero point energy ( kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> )	65.92772	65.74192	65.88713	76.74756								
Entropy (Cal mol <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )												
Total	74.991	75.414	75.217	80.780								
Translational	39.535	39.535	39.535	39.977								
Rotational	26.794	26.835	26.833	27.832								
Vibrational	8.662	9.044	8.849	12.971								
	0.27826	0.27853	0.28622	0.16280								
Rotational temperature(Kelvin)	0.13100	0.12775	0.12524	0.10786								
	0.08917	0.06423	0.08721	0.06515								
	5.79800	5.80358	5.96386	3.39212								
<b>Kotational constants</b> (GHZ)	2.72968	2.66178	2.60962	2.24738								
	1.85796	1.82734	1.81708	1.35760								
	Therma	al Energy (Kcal/mol)										
Total	69.471	69.345	69.466	80.441								
Translational	0.889	0.889	0.889	0.889								
Rotational	0.889	0.889	0.889	0.889								
Vibrational	67.693	67.567	67.688	78.663								
Molar capacity at constant volume(Cal/mol-Kelvin)												
Total	22.190	22.363	22.326	25.711								
Translational	2.981	2.981	2.981	2.981								
Rotational	2.981	2.981	2.981	2.981								
Vibrational	16.228	16.401	16.365	19.749								

For 3-aminopyridine,

 $\begin{array}{l} C_{p,m}^{0} = -0.4506 + 0.0892 \ T - 5 \ x \ 10^{-4} \ T^2 \ (R^2 = 0.9977) \\ H_m^{0} = 65.07 + 0.0074 \ T - 5 \ x \ 10^{-3} \ T^2 \ (R^2 = 0.9993) \\ S_m^{0} = 48.441 + 0.0968 \ T - 5 \ x \ 10^{-2} \ T^2 \ (R^2 = 0.9998) \end{array}$ 

For 4-aminopyridine,

 $\begin{array}{l} C_{p,m}{}^{o} = -0.5561 + 0.0894 \ T - 5 \ x \ 10^{-4} \ T^{2} \ (R^{2} = 0.9977) \\ H_{m}{}^{o} = 65.315 + 0.007 \ T - 5 \ x \ 10^{-3} \ T^{2} \ (R^{2} = 0.9993) \end{array}$  $S_m^{o} = 48.507 + 0.0962 \text{ T} - 5 \text{ x } 10^{-3} \text{ T}^2$  $(R^2 = 0.9994)$ 

For 3, 4-diaminopyridine,

 $\begin{array}{l} C_{p,m}{}^{o} = 0.6274 + 0.0973 \ \text{T} - 5 \ x \ 10^{-4} \ \text{T}^2 \ (\text{R}^2 = 0.9971) \\ H_{m}{}^{o} = 75.474 + 0.0094 \ \text{T} - 5 \ x \ 10^{-3} \ \text{T}^2 \ (\text{R}^2 = 0.9993) \\ \text{S}_{m}{}^{o} = 49.624 + 0.1122 \ \text{T} - 5 \ x \ 10^{-3} \ \text{T}^2 \ (\text{R}^2 = 0.9998) \\ \end{array}$ 

These thermodynamic data contribute helpful information for the further application of the compounds and can also be used to calculate the other thermodynamic energies which aid the directions of chemical reactions as per the second law of thermodynamics in thermochemical field.

#### **3.6 Non-Linear Optical Properties**

Dipole moment, polarizability and hyper polarizability of the organic molecules are the properties which are used for an intense investigation of the non-linear optical materials. The dipole moment computed at DFT/6-311G++(d, p) level are 2.1198D, 3.3934D, 4.1154D and 3.6542D for 2AP,3AP,4AP and 34DAP respectively which is a measure to analyse the inter molecular attraction of the molecules.In the present study, the output of Gaussian 09W provides the polarizability tensors,  $\alpha_{xx}$ ,  $\alpha_{xy}$ ,  $\alpha_{yy}$ ,  $\alpha_{xz}$ ,  $\alpha_{yz}$ ,  $\alpha_{zz}$  and the first order hyper polarizability tensors which can be described by 3x3x3 matrix and reduced to ten components as  $\beta_{xxx}$ ,  $\beta_{xxy}$ ,  $\beta_{xyy}$ ,  $\beta_{yyy}$ ,  $\beta_{xxz}$ ,  $\beta_{xyz}$ ,  $\beta_{yyz}$ ,  $\beta_{xzz}$ ,  $\beta_{yzz}$ ,  $\beta_{zzz}$  due to Kleimman symmetry[27]. The mean polarizability and the average value of the first order hyper polarizability values are calculated by using the following equations,  $\alpha_{\text{total}} = 1/3(\alpha_{\text{xx}} + \alpha_{\text{vv}} + \alpha_{\text{zz}})$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} \beta_{total} = (\beta_{x}^{\ 2} + \beta_{y}^{\ 2} + \beta_{z}^{\ 2})^{1/2} = [(\beta_{xxx} + \beta_{xyy} + \beta_{xzz})^{\ 2} + (\beta_{yyy} + \beta_{yzz} + \beta_{yxx})^{\ 2} + (\beta_{zzz} + \beta_{zxx} + \beta_{zyy})^{\ 2}]^{1/2} \end{array}$$

The first hyper polarizability values are found to be  $2.5687 \times 10^{-30}$ ,  $2.6802 \times 10^{-30}$ ,  $1.4935 \times 10^{-30}$  and  $2.6015 \times 10^{-30}$  cm<sup>-5</sup> esu<sup>-1</sup> for the molecules 2AP,3AP, 4AP and 34DAP respectively and are presented in the Table-6 .Urea is a prototypical molecule used in the study of NLO properties of the molecular system and therefore applied frequently as a threshold value for the comparative study. The molecules under investigation, aminopyridines at DFT level have the calculated  $\beta$  values greater than the  $\beta$  values of urea (0.2991x10<sup>-30</sup> cm<sup>-5</sup> esu<sup>-1</sup>) and hence all the aminopyridines under study are expected to be good NLO materials. The value of the  $\beta$  components calculated theoretically clearly indicate the direction of charge delocalization and the intramolecular charge transfer process.

Table-5: Temperature dependence of thermodynamic parameters of aminopyridine at DFT/6-311G++(d, p) level

Temperature	Enth	alpy(Hm	)(k cal r	nol-1)	Heat ca	apacity(	Cp,m)(ca	l mol-1 k-1)	Entr	opy(Sm)	(cal mol-	1 k-1)
(K)	2AP	3AP	4AP	34DAP	2AP	3AP	4AP	34DAP	2AP	3AP	4AP	34DAP
50	66.24	66.04	66.19	76.53	6.18	6.16	6.13	6.89	52.18	52.21	52.21	53.88
100	66.59	66.39	66.53	76.94	7.87	8.13	7.94	9.86	58.27	58.37	58.28	60.87
150	67.05	66.48	67.00	77.53	10.86	11.22	11.10	13.65	62.80	63.03	62.87	66.37
200	67.68	67.52	67.65	78.31	14.49	14.80	14.75	17.70	66.98	67.31	67.13	71.42
250	68.51	68.36	68.48	79.30	18.39	18.63	18.59	21.80	71.08	71.46	72.28	76.26
298.15	69.48	69.35	69.47	80.44	22.19	22.36	22.33	25.71	74.99	75.41	75.22	80.78
300	69.52	69.39	69.51	80.49	22.34	22.51	22.47	25.85	75.14	75.57	75.37	80.95
350	70.74	70.61	70.73	81.88	26.15	26.27	26.23	29.75	79.18	79.63	79.43	85.54
400	72.13	72.01	72.13	83.46	29.72	29.79	29.75	33.40	83.17	83.63	83.43	90.02
450	73.70	73.58	73.70	85.22	32.97	33.02	32.97	36.76	87.10	87.56	87.35	94.38
500	75.43	75.31	75.42	87.13	35.90	35.93	35.89	39.81	90.94	91.41	91.19	98.63
550	77.29	77.17	77.28	89.19	38.53	38.55	38.50	42.55	94.67	95.14	94.93	102.74
600	79.27	79.16	79.26	91.38	40.88	40.89	40.85	45.02	98.30	98.77	98.55	106.72
650	81.37	81.26	81.36	93.69	42.99	43.00	42.95	47.24	101.82	102.29	102.06	110.58
700	83.57	83.45	83.56	96.10	44.89	44.90	44.85	49.25	105.22	105.70	105.47	114.30
750	85.86	85.74	85.84	98.61	46.61	46.62	46.58	51.08	108.52	108.99	108.76	117.90
800	88.23	88.11	88.21	101.21	48.17	48.18	48.14	52.74	111.70	112.18	111.94	121.38
850	90.67	90.56	90.65	103.89	49.60	49.62	49.57	54.27	114.79	115.26	115.02	124.74
900	93.19	93.07	93.17	106.63	50.91	50.93	50.89	55.68	117.77	118.25	118.01	128.00
950	95.76	95.65	95.74	109.45	52.12	52.14	52.10	56.98	120.67	121.14	120.90	131.15
1000	98.40	98.28	98.37	112.33	53.23	53.25	53.21	58.19	123.47	123.95	123.70	134.21



Fig-6: (a) The total electron density surface mapped with electrostatic potential (b) contour mapping of aminopyridine



Fig-7: Correlation graphic of entropy vs temperature of Aminopyridines



Fig-8: Correlation graphic of heat capacity vs temperature of Aminopyridines



Fig-9: Correlation graphic of enthalpy vs temperature of Aminopyridines

Polarizability	2-amino pyridine	3-amino pyridine	4-amino pyridine	3,4-diamino pyridine					
α <sub>XX</sub>	98.3237	97.3819	94.6586	99.6622					
$\alpha_{\rm XY}$	-1.3030	-2.0389	-0.0105	4.5058					
$\alpha_{YY}$	78.5360	78.5653	80.6387	81.3362					
$\alpha_{XZ}$	0.3821	-0.3864	-0.3310	0.0027					
$\alpha_{YZ}$	0.0615	0.0580	-0.0027	-0.0010					
$\alpha_{ZZ}$	44.4051	43.8899	43.9424	46.7709					
$\alpha_{Total}(a.u)$	73.7549	73.2790	73.0799	75.9231					
Hyperpolarisibility									
$\beta_{XXX}$	321.6275	-294.8016	-263.5833	-241.9757					
$\beta_{XXY}$	-27.4388	23.0892	0.0030	-27.9420					
$\beta_{XYY}$	-49.3038	2.0041	71.5116	-87.9337					
$\beta_{YYY}$	0.5241	12.4900	-0.0057	62.8138					
$\beta_{XXZ}$	11.7685	12.1450	9.6463	1.2501					
$\beta_{XYZ}$	2.0375	-0.5149	0.0043	-17.1939					
$\beta_{YYZ}$	3.2267	6.5467	5.7375	0.7854					
$\beta_{XZZ}$	23.5165	-9.5923	21.4054	34.9235					
$\beta_{YZZ}$	11.0881	26.0639	0.0009	25.5907					
βzzz	10.2739	13.0935	12.2048	1.0548					
$\beta_{TOTAL}$ ( cm <sup>-5</sup> esu <sup>-1</sup> )	2.5687×10 <sup>-30</sup>	2.6802×10 <sup>-30</sup>	1.4935×10 <sup>-30</sup>	2.6015×10 <sup>-30</sup>					

Table-6: Comparison of Polarizability and Hyper Polarizability values of Aminopyridines at DFT/
311G++(d, p) level

## **3.7 Donor-Acceptor Interaction**

Natural Bonding Orbital (NBO) analysis is an efficient basis to study donor-acceptor interaction, bond order, type of hybridization, resonance effect within the molecule and emphasis the charge transfer within the molecular system [28].A bonding or lone pair orbital acts as a donor and a filled or empty anti-bonding orbital can act as an acceptor. The computation of stabilization energy  $(E^2)$  of all possible interactions between filled donors Lewis-type NBO's and empty (acceptors) non-Lewis can be done by second order perturbation theory. The stabilization of orbitals interaction is proportional to the energy difference between the interacting orbitals. Hence the strongest interaction takes place when the stabilization energy  $(E^2)$  is more between the efficient donor and efficient acceptor and it is also associated with i (donor)  $\rightarrow$  j (acceptor) delocalization. [29]. The stabilization energy  $(E^2)$  is estimated from the second order perturbation approach as

$$E^2 = q_i \quad \frac{F^2(\underline{j}, \underline{j})}{\sum_{i} - \sum_{i}}$$

where  $q_i$  is the donor orbital occupancy,  $\sum_i$  and  $\sum_j$  are diagonal elements (orbital energies) and F<sub>(i, i)</sub>is the offdiagonal Fock matrix element. The second order perturbation analysis of Fockmatrix of 2AP,3AP,4AP and 34DAP are tabulated in the Table-7 for different types of donor-acceptor interactions with their stabilization energy of not less than 4.0 Kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. The bond pair-bond pair interaction and lone pair - lone pair interactions with their high stabilization energies for all amino pyridines are analyzed in the present study. The interactions between nitrogen lone pair (non-bonding) and pyridine ring C-C anti bonding orbital n N  $\rightarrow \sigma^*_{C-C}$  in the aminopyridines are found to have strong stabilization energy  $(E^2) > 8$ Kcal/mole. The interaction between nitrogen lone pair (nonbonding) to aromatic ring C-C antibonding  $\pi$  orbital n N  $\rightarrow$  $\pi^*_{C-C}$  also obtained with very strong stabilization energies (E<sup>2</sup>) 35.27, 26.75, 32.09 and 29.50 Kcal mole<sup>-1</sup> for 2AP, 3AP, 4AP and 34DAP respectively.

The stabilization energy between  $\sigma_{C-C} \rightarrow \sigma^*_{C-C}$  orbital are estimated and found to be less than 5 Kcal/mole .The

interaction/transition between  $\pi_{C-C} \rightarrow \pi^*_{C-C}$  orbital are determined as 14.23/25.24, 20.95/20.18, 13.86/24.8, and 14.50/24.67 Kcal mole<sup>-1</sup> for 2AP, 3AP, 4AP and 34DAP respectively and these  $E^2$  are more than the stabilization

energy calculated for  $n \rightarrow \sigma^*$  orbital interaction. Similarly,  $\pi_{\text{N-C}} \rightarrow \pi^*_{\text{C-C}}$  orbital interaction are also accompanied with very strong stabilization energies (E<sup>2</sup>) range from 10.31 to 30.27 Kcal mole<sup>-1</sup>.

2-AMINOPYRIDINE								3-AMINOPYRIDINE							
E(2)Kca Ej-Ei Donor (i) →Acceptor(j) l mol-1 (a.u)						F(i, j) a.u		Donor (i) →Acceptor(j)				E(2)Kcal mol-1	Ej-Ei (a.u)	F(i,j) a.u	
π	N1-C2	$\rightarrow$	π*	C3-C4	10.31	0.32	0.052	π	N1-C2	$\rightarrow$	π*	C3-C4	14.69	0.32	0.063
π	N1-C2	$\rightarrow$	π*	C5-C6	29.41	0.33	0.088	π	N1-C2	$\rightarrow$	π*	C5-C6	21.72	0.32	0.076
π	C3-C4	$\rightarrow$	π*	N1-C2	27.43	0.27	0.08	σ	С2-Н9	$\rightarrow$	σ*	N1-C6	4.77	1.07	0.064
π	C3-C4	$\rightarrow$	π*	C5-C6	14.23	0.29	0.058	σ	С2-Н9	$\rightarrow$	σ*	C3-C4	4.01	1.08	0.059
σ	C3-C8	$\rightarrow$	σ*	N1-C2	4.84	1.06	0.064	π	C3-C4	$\rightarrow$	π*	N1-C2	23.1	0.27	0.071
π	C5-C6	$\rightarrow$	π*	C3-C4	25.24	0.28	0.75	π	C3-C4	$\rightarrow$	π*	C5-C6	20.95	0.29	0.07
π	C5-C6	$\rightarrow$	$\pi^*$	N1-C2	13.40	0.026	0.055	σ	C4-C5	$\rightarrow$	σ*	C3-N7	4.4	1.14	0.063
σ	C5-H10	$\rightarrow$	σ*	N1-C6	4.42	1.07	0.061	π	C5-C6	$\rightarrow$	π*	N1-C2	20.18	0.26	0.066
σ	C6-H11	$\rightarrow$	σ*	N1-C2	4.55	1.05	0.062	π	C5-C6	$\rightarrow$	π*	C3-C4	20.18	0.27	0.067
σ	N7-H12	$\rightarrow$	σ*	C2-C3	4.15	1.19	0.063	σ	C5-H10	$\rightarrow$	σ*	N1-C6	4.21	1.07	0.06
n	N1(LP)	$\rightarrow$	σ*	C2-C3	10.06	0.88	0.085	σ	C6-H11	$\rightarrow$	σ*	N1-C2	4.71	1.07	0.063
n	N1(LP)	$\rightarrow$	σ*	C5-C6	8.54	0.91	0.08	σ	N7-H12	$\rightarrow$	σ*	C3-C4	4.12	1.21	0.063
n	N7(LP)	$\rightarrow$	π*	N1-C2	35.27	0.3	0.098	n	N1(LP)	$\rightarrow$	σ*	C2-C3	9.42	0.88	0.082
		3	,4-DI	AMINOP	YRIDINE			n	N1(LP)	$\rightarrow$	σ*	C5-C6	8.87	0.9	0.081
π	N1-C2	$\rightarrow$	$\pi^*$	C3-C4	11.71	0.32	0.56	n	N7(LP)	$\rightarrow$	π*	C3-C4	26.75	0.32	0.088
π	N1-C2	$\rightarrow$	$\pi^*$	C5-C6	30.27	0.32	0.089				4-A	MINOPY	RIDINE		
σ	C2-C3	$\rightarrow$	σ*	C4-N8	4.44	1.15	0.064	π	N1-C2		π*	C3-C4	11.24	0.31	0.054
σ	С2-Н9	$\rightarrow$	σ*	N1-C6	4.8	1.09	0.065	π	N1-C2	$\rightarrow$	π*	C5-C6	29.45	0.31	0.087
σ	С2-Н9	$\rightarrow$	σ*	C3-C4	4.22	1.08	0.061	σ	C2-C3	$\rightarrow$	σ*	C4-N7	4.49	1.15	0.064
σ	C3-C4	$\rightarrow$	σ*	C4-C5	4.16	1.28	0.065	σ	С2-Н8	$\rightarrow$	σ*	N1-C6	4.63	1.06	0.063
π	C3-C4	$\rightarrow$	$\pi^*$	N1-C2	31.51	0.28	0.85	π	C3-C4	$\rightarrow$	π*	N1-C2	32.52	0.27	0.085
π	C3-C4	$\rightarrow$	$\pi^*$	C5-C6	14.5	0.29	0.059	π	C3-C4	$\rightarrow$	π*	C5-C6	13.86	0.28	0.057
σ	C4-C5	$\rightarrow$	σ*	C3-C4	4.16	1.28	0.065	σ	С3-Н9	$\rightarrow$	σ*	N1-C2	4.37	1.07	0.061
σ	C4-C5	$\rightarrow$	σ*	C3-N7	4.4	1.11	0.063	σ	C5-C6	$\rightarrow$	σ*	C4-N7	4.49	1.15	0.064
σ	C5-C6	$\rightarrow$	σ*	C4-N8	4.65	1.15	0.037	π	C5-C6	$\rightarrow$	π*	N1-C2	13.06	0.27	0.055
π	C5-C6	$\rightarrow$	π*	N1-C2	13.66	0.28	0.057	π	C5-C6	$\rightarrow$	π*	C3-C4	24.8	0.27	0.076
π	C5-C6	$\rightarrow$	π*	C3-C4	24.67	0.28	0.077	σ	C5-H10	$\rightarrow$	σ*	N1-C6	4.37	1.07	0.061
σ	C5-H10	$\rightarrow$	σ*	N1-C6	4.28	1.11	0.062	σ	C6-H11	$\rightarrow$	σ*	N1-C2	4.63	1.06	0.063
σ	C6-H11	$\rightarrow$	σ*	N1-C2	4.71	1.1	0.064	σ	N7-H12	$\rightarrow$	σ*	C4-C5	4.12	1.22	0.063
n	N1(LP)	$\rightarrow$	σ*	C2-C3	9.08	0.91	0.082	σ	N7-H13	$\rightarrow$	σ*	C3-C4	4.11	1.22	0.063
n	N1(LP)	$\rightarrow$	σ*	C5-C6	9.46	0.92	0.084	n	N1(LP)	$\rightarrow$	σ*	C2-C3	9.18	0.91	0.083
n	N7(LP)	$\rightarrow$	σ*	C3-C4	9.55	0.87	0.082	n	N1(LP)	$\rightarrow$	σ*	C5-C6	9.18	0.91	0.083
n	N8(LP)	$\rightarrow$	π*	C3-C4	29.5	0.33	0.095	n	N7(LP)	$\rightarrow$	π*	C3-C4	32.09	0.32	0.096

Volume: 05 Issue: 03 | Mar-2016, Available @ http://www.ijret.org

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Based on the quantum mechanical approach, a complete molecular structural analysis of 2AP, 3AP, 4AP and 34DAP have been performed by DFT with 6-311G++ (d, p) basis set. The presence of amino group in 2 and 4 positions of the pyridine ring exhibits imine-enamine tautomerism which is proved by the geometric parameters measured at DFT level. The  $\pi_{N-C} \longrightarrow \pi^*_{C-C}$  orbital interaction is computed with very strong stabilization energies  $(E^2)$  in Kcal/mole than in  $\pi_{C-C} \rightarrow \pi^*_{C-C}$  transition in the chosen aminopyridines. The correlation equation of entropy, heat capacity and enthalpy change with respect to temperature were fitted by quadratic formula with the corresponding fitting factors  $(R^2)$ . It has been noticed that the thermodynamic properties such as entropy, heat capacity and enthalpy increase with wide range of temperature from 50K to 1000K.The reported geometric parameters, molecular electro static potential surface mapping and contour mapping by DFT/B3LYP/6-311G++ (d, p) calculation stand as the evidences for the reactive sites in the chosen molecules for nucleophilic and electrophilic attack. NLO behavior of the chosen aminopyridines also identified from the computed values of polarizability and hyper polarizability by B3LYP method.

#### REFERENCES

- [1]www.chemicalland21.com/lifescience/phar/2aminopyridine.htm
- [2]www.chemicalland21.com/lifescience/agro/3-amino pyridine.htm.
- [3] Smith R.C, Emmen H.H, Bertels Mann F.W, Kulig B.M, Van Loenen A.C, and Polman C.H, *Neurology*, 1994, 44(9), 1701.
- [4]Korenke A.R, Rivey MP, Allington DR, Ann Pharmacother, (2008), 42 (10): 1458–65.
- [5] Van Diemen HA, Polman CH, Koetsier JC, Van Loenen AC, Nauta JJ, Bertelsmann FW, *Clinical Neuropharmacology*, 1993,16 (3): 195–204.
- [6] Quartel A, Turbeville S, Lounsbury D , Curr Med Res Opin. 2010 Jun;26(6):1363-75.
- [7] Boyd, A. S F; Frost, M. J.; Howarth, N. M.Journal of Molecular Structure, 2004, Vol. 688, No. 1-3, p. 149-158.
- [8] S.Ramalingam a S. Periandy b S.Mohan c, R.Madivanene, *Current science*, 2010,1(15):233-240.
- [9] M. Szafran, I. Kowalczyk, J. Koput Journal of Molecular Structure, 2005, Vol. 754, Issues 1–3, 8, p. 85–95
- [10] Karpagam J, Sundaraganesan N, Kalaichelvan S,Sebastian S.,SpectrochimActa A MolBiomolSpectrosc. 2010, 76(5):502-12
- [11] V. Ya. Artyukhov, A. V. Morev, Yu. P. Morozova, *Russian Physics Journal*, 2003, Vol.46, 4, pp 427-431
- [12] N.Sundaraganesan,B.DominicJoshua,M.Rajamoothy and C.H. GangadharIndian journal of Pure and applied Physics, 2007, Vol.45, pp 969-978
- [13] M.J. Frisch, G.W. Trucks, H.B. Schlegel, G.E. Scuseria, M.A. Robb, J.R. Cheeseman, et al., Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Gaussian Inc., Pittsburgh, PA, 2009.
- [14] A.D.Becke, J.Chem. Phys, 1993, 98, 5648

- [15] C.Lee, W. Yang, P.G.Parr, Phys. Rev, 1998, B37, 785
- [16] I. Fleming, Frontier Orbitals and Organic Chemical Reactions, John Wiley and sons, New York, 1976, pp 5-27.
- [17] R.I. Dennington II, Todd A. Keith, J. Millam, Gauss View version 5.0.8, Semichem Inc. Shawnee Mission, KS, 2008
- [18]J. M. Rubin-Preminger and U. Englert, ActaCryst. 2007, E63, o757-o758
- [19] Edgar A. Steck, Galen W. Ewing, Frederick Nachod, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1948, 70 (10), 3397–3406]
- [20] Jerry March, Advanced Organic chemistry: Reactions, Mechanisms and Structure, John Wiley & Sons, Fourth edition, 1992, pp.20-22
- [21] R.G. Pearson, *Journal of organic chemistry*, 1989, 54, 1423-1430.
- [22] R. Meenakshi, Lakshmi Jaganathan, S. Ganasekaran, S. Srinivasan, *Mol. simul.* 2010, 36, 425-433.
- [23] J.M. Seminario, Recent Developments and Applications in Modern Density Functional Theory, Elsivier, 1996, Vol.4, pp.800-806
- [24] R.H. Petrucci, W.S. Harwood, F.G. Herring, J.D. Madura, General Chemistry: Principles and Modern Applications, Pearson Education, Inc., New Jersey, 2007, 9 th Ed.,
- [25] E.G. Csizmada, Theory and Practice of MO Calculations on organic molecules, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1976.
- [26] A. E. Reed, Weinhold, J. Chem. phys, 1985, 83, 1736-1740
- [27] D. A. Kleinman, Phys. Rev., 1962, 126, 1977.
- [28] S. Muthu, J. Uma Maheswari, SpectrochemActa, 2012, 92 A, 154-163
- [29] A.E. Reed, R.B. Weinstock, F. Weinhold, J. Chem. Phys. 1985, 83, 735-746.