STUDIES ON REINFORCED HOLLOW CONCRETE BLOCK MASONRY

Madan Kumar L¹, S. Raghunath²

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, JIT Davangere, Karnataka, India ²Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, B.M.S.C.E Bengaluru-19, Karnataka, India

Abstract

Masonry may be defined as the assemblage of building units joined with the help of cementitious material or any accepted joining material to perform required function. It has its own reputation and performs multi-functions in load bearing structures such assupporting loads, dividing spaces, thermal and acoustic insulation, weather and fire protection etc, but it has to be provided separately in framed structures. In present scenario, there is a great demand for construction of Multi-storied residential buildings in urban area because of needful requirements. Most of such buildings are constructed using RC-framed structure. On the other hand RC-framed structures are expensive and relatively difficult to construct because of the need for formwork. Masonry has a great benefit since it does not need form work. If moderate to High strength Engineered hollow concrete blocks are available, one can think of providing reinforcement through the core of such Hollow blocks. In this project an attempt has been made to obtain the load carrying capacity of Reinforced Hollow Concrete Block Masonry through experimental investigation by considering two different percentages of steels - for this totally four number of Reinforced hollow concrete block masonry prisms (RHCBM) using 12 mm diameter bar and six number of Reinforced hollow concrete block masonry prisms (RHCBM) using 8 mm diameter bar were casted and tested. Further, an attempt has been made to compare the experimental load carrying capacity with the conventional mechanics based approach used for analyzing short columns. There was a fairly good corelation between the analysis and experiments.

Key Words: Unreinforced Masonry, Reinforced Hollow Concrete Block Masonry.

1. INTRODUCTION

Masonry may be defined as the assemblage of building units joined with the help of cementitious material or any accepted joining material to perform required function. Based on structural perspective masonry can be classified into two types- Plain masonry and Reinforced masonry.

Plain masonry is one where the building units are bonded (or joined) with the help of cementitious material or any accepted joining material without any reinforcements. And, this type of masonry gives little tensile strength- hence it cannot be used in horizontal spanning members like beams, slabs and also for column where it is subjected tensile stresses due to eccentric loading. Similarly, reinforced masonry is type masonry where reinforcements are used in addition to plain masonry to improve its strength in both tension and compression.

2. METHODOLOGY

The Methodology adopted is conventional tests on a. Unreinforced HCB b. Reinforced Hollow Concrete Block Masonry. Two different percentages of reinforcement were tried prior to experiments on RHCBM, all the basic properties of Hollow concrete block, Mortar and Concrete was evaluated.

3. EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION

In present investigation the prism specimens and masonry

triplets were casted using hollow concrete block of dimension 400×150×200 mm for Compressive test and Shear test respectively. Fe-415 steel of diameter 12 mm and 8 mm were taken for reinforcement in RHCBM and 53 grade of ordinary Portland cement was used in all cases. The cement mortar 1:4 with w/c ratio 0.7 (obtained by Flow table test) was adopted. M20 grade concrete has been used for filling the cells of block in RHCBM. Totally four number of Reinforced hollow concrete block masonry prisms (RHCBM) using 12 mm diameter bar, six number of RHCBM using 8 mm diameter bar and three number of unreinforced masonry prisms were casted for Compression test. Similarly, three number of masonry triplets in two sets were casted and tested for two different normal stresses to evaluate the behavior of Shear Strength.



Fig -1: Prism Specimen after casting



Fig -2: Masonry Triplet after casting

Sl. No	Name of the Test	Test Results	Unit
1	Dimensionality	401.63x152.07x199. 3	mm
2	Dry density	1.163	g/cc
3	Water absorption	5.33	%
4	Initial rate of absorption	1.25	kg/m² /min
5	Flexural strength	1.92	N/m m²
6	Compressive strength	6.08	N/m m²
7	Modulus of Elasticity	5898	MPa

Table -2: Basic Properties of Cement Mortar

Sl.No	Name of the Test	Test Results	Unit
1	Compressive strength	12.92	N/mm²
2	Flowability	0.7	-



Fig -3: Setup for Compression test



Fig -4: Setup for shear test

Table -3: Compressive strength of URM at 28 days

Sl N o	Compressi ve Strength in Mpa	Correctio n Factor	Corrected Compressi ve strength in MPa	Average Compressi ve Strength in Mpa
1	3.73	1.31	4.88	
2	6.22	1.31	8.15	6.83
3	5.7	1.31	7.47	

Table -4: Compressive strength of RHCBM-12φ at 28 days

Sl N o	Compressi ve Strength in Mpa	Correctio n Factor	Corrected Compressi ve strength in MPa	Average Compressi ve Strength in Mpa
1	7.23	1.31	9.48	
2	7.75	1.31	10.16	11 1
3	9.5	1.31	12.46	11.1
4	9.37	1.31	12.28	

Table -5: Compressive strength of RHCBM-8 ϕ at 28 days

Sl N o	Compressi ve Strength in Mpa	Correctio n Factor	Corrected Compressi ve strength in MPa	Average Compressi ve Strength in Mpa
1	6.1	1.31	8	
2	5.2	1.31	6.82	
3	8.02	1.31	10.51	0.00
4	6.73	1.31	8.83	9.09
5	7.33	1.31	9.62	
6	8.2	1.31	10.75	

Sl No	Normal Stress (N/mm ²)	Shear strength (N/mm ²)	Average Shear Strength (N/mm ²)
1	0.007	0.168	
2	0.007	0.199	0.191
3	0.007	0.207	
4	0.01	0.184	
5	0.01	0.253	0.219
6	0.01	0.222	

Table -6: Shear Strength of Masonry Triplets at 28 days

Also, an attempt has been made to calculate the load carrying capacity of RHCBM using the mechanics based approach and the details are mentioned below.

 Table -7: Axial load capacity of RHCBM (Experimental and Analytical)

Steel	Experimental Load, (kN)	Po	Analytical Load, P _o (kN)	% Difference
URM	312.83 (avg. of specimen)	3	364.8	16.61
4 х 8 ф	415.83 (avg. of specimen)	6	429.44	3.27
4 x 12 φ	507.75 (avg. of specimen)	3	477.19	6.01

Further, the shear strength of hollow concrete block masonry triplets compared with Ready-made mortar. The table given below gives the summary of the comparison of the shear strength v/s normal stress.

Table -8:	Comparison	of Shear Strength	
-----------	------------	-------------------	--

Tuble of comparison of Shear Strength				
Normal StressShear Strength of HCB Masonry Triplets using Conventional Mortar (1:4)		Shear Strength of HCB Masonry Triplets using Ready-Made Mortar		
0.007	0.191	0.37		
0.01	0.219	0.54		



Chart -1: Comparison of Shear Strength v/s Normal Stress

4. CONCLUSIONS

The present investigation focused on the axial load carrying capacity of RHCBM based on the experimental and analytical investigation the following broad set of conclusions may be drawn:

- [1]. Water absorption of HCB if found to be 5.33%.
- [2]. The Block Density of HCB is found to be 1.163 g/cc.
- [3]. IRA for HCB is found to be $1.25 \text{ kg/m}^2/\text{min}$.
- [4]. The average Flexural Strength of HCB is 1.92 N/mm². This is indeed very high compared to conventional masonry units, because these HCB's are manufacture for a design mix under good quality control
- [5]. The average compressive strength and modulus of elasticity of HCB blocks is found to be 6.08 N/mm² and 5898 Mpa.
- [6]. The average Compressive Strength of Mortar Cube (1:4) with a w/c ratio of 0.7 is found to be 6.57 Mpa and 12.92 Mpa for 7 and 28 days respectively.
- [7]. The average Compressive Strength and modulus of elasticity of URM is found to be 6.83 Mpa and 17265 MPa respectively with the masonry efficiency of 112.39 %. There is a need to explore this further since for such HCB with M1 grade mortar, efficiency is generally less than 100%.
- [8]. The average Compressive Strength and modulus of elasticity of RHCBM-12φ (reinforced using 12 mm bar) is found to be 11.1MPa and 22072 MPa with the masonry efficiency of 182.5 %.
- [9]. The average Compressive Strength of RHCBM-8 φ (reinforced using 8 mm bar) is found to be 9.09 MPa.
- [10]. The simple mechanics based approach gives a very good co-relation for theoretically calculated ultimate load and experimental ultimate load.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Beer Johnston De Wolf, "Mechanics of Materials", 2004, Mc-Graw-Hill New York.
- [2]. Jagadish.K.S, Venkataraman Reddy B.V, Nanjunda Rao K.S (2009), "Alternative Building Materials and Technologies" New Age International Publishers,

Bangalore

- [3]. Narendra taly (2007), "Design of Reinforced Masonry Structures" Mc-Graw-Hill Publicatin, New York
- [4]. IS: 456-2000 "Code of Practice for structural plain and reinforced concrete", BIS Publication, New Delhi
- [5]. IS: 1905-1987 "Code of practice for structural use of un-reinforced masonry", BIS Publication, New Delhi
- [6]. IS: 2185(Part I)-1979 "Specification for concrete masonry units", BIS Publication, New Delhi
- [7]. IS: 2250-1981 "Code of practice for Masonry mortars", BIS Publication, New Delhi
- [8]. BibianaLuccioni¹ and Viviana C. Rougier² (2010), "In-plane retrofitting of masonry panels with fibre reinforced composite materials", ¹Structures Institute, National University of Tucumán, Argentina, ² National Technological University, Uruguay.
- [9]. Claudio Modena (2001), "Reinforced and Rectified Clay blocks masonry", University of Padua, Padua, Italy
- [10]. Raghunath s. (2003) "Static and Dynamic behavior of Brick Masonry with Containment Reinforcement", PhD Thesis submitted to Dept of Civil Engineering, IISC, Bangalore
- [11]. Dhanasekar M (2003), "Effect of Grout Comfinement on the Compressive Strength of masonry", Journal of Institute of engineers, New Delhi.
- [12]. Hemanth Kumar.M.N, "Flexural and Shear Strength of Hollow Concrete Block Masonry Prisms under Normal Stress", M.Tech Thesis submitted to Dept of Civil Engineering, BMS College of Engineering, V.T.U Belgaum
- [13]. M.Corradi¹, C. Tedeschi², L. Binda², A. Borri¹(2007), "Experimental evaluation of shear and compression strength of masonry wall before and after reinforcement: Deep repointing", ¹Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Perugia, Italy, ²DIS-Dept. of Structural Engineering, Politecnico of Milan, Italy, Available online Science Direct
- [14]. Matthias Ernst¹, Gert König², "Shear Strength and Compressive Strength of Reinforced perforated clay block masonry", ¹ Institute für Massivbau, TH Darmstadt, now Ingenieurbüro BUNG, ² Institute für Massivbau und Baustofftechnologie i. Gr., Universität Leipzig
- [15]. Manih.S, "Experimental and Analytical Studies on Reinfoced Masonry", M.Tech Thesis submitted to Dept of Civil Engineering, BMS College of Engineering, V.T.U Belgaum
- [16]. Petras Pukelis, "Estimation in LST EN 1996-1-1 of Influence of Transversal bed joint reinforcement on Compressive Strength of Masonry", Dept of Reinforced Concrete and Masonry Structures, Vilnius Gediminas Technical University, Saulėtekio al.
- [17]. Oliviera (2008), "Axial compression behavior of concrete masonry wallettes strengthened with cement

mortar overlays", Ibracon structures and material journal, Volume 1, p.158-170