MODIFICATION OF DISCHARGE ELECTRODE IN ELECTROSTATIC PRECIPITATOR

R.Isaac¹, T.Balusamy²

¹Assistant Professor, Knowledge Institute of Technology, Salem ²Assistant Professor, Government College of Engineering, Salem

Abstract

In Fluidized bed boiler the exhaust gas has more ash particles due to the agro fuel. As per the Environmental control board norms the particle level from the exhaust gas should not be exceed 50ppm. But it has 700ppm. Several scientific studies have linked the ash particles with a wide range of health-related disorders resulting in daily mortality, respiratory and cardiovascular hospitalizations, adverse impaired lung function, etc. For control the dust particles the electrostatic precipitator is installed with the boiler. The electrostatic precipitator having rod type discharge electrode and collecting plate to charge and collect the dust particles. The spiked discharge electrode is used instead of rod type discharge electrode and to reduce the material cost of the electrostatic precipitator. The efficiency of the spiked discharge electrode electrostatic precipitator more compare to rod discharge electrode electrostatic precipitator. The electrical field strength of the spiked discharge electrode modeling and analyzing with help of ANSYS (Professional Emag)

***_____

Keywords: ESP, Spiked electrode, Rod type electrode

1. INTRODUCTION OF ESP

The electrostatic precipitators (ESP) are one of the more frequently used for particulate collection. They can handle large gas volumes with a wide range of inlet temperatures, pressures, dust volumes, and acid gas conditions. They can collect a wide range of particle sizes, and they can collect particles both in dry and wet states. For many industries, the collection efficiency can go as high as 99%.

2. SPECIFICATION OF THE EXISTING ESP

Туре	: Dry type ESP
Size of the ESP	: 2.5 x 2.5 x 4 m
No. of Fields	: 3 Nos.
Collecting plate dimension	n: 1.8 x 3.6 x 0.002 m
Spacing between CP	: 400 mm
Diameter of the Discharge	electrode: 20 mm
Distance between DE	: 200 mm
No. of DE's	: 216 nos.
Radius of the particle	: 0.5µm
Efficiency	: 65%

2.1 Arrangement of Existing ESP

The figure 2.1 shows the general arrangement of the existing electrostatic precipitator in sail. This ESP having three field to collect the dust particles. The collected dust particles from the collection plate drained through the bottom drain of ESP.



2.2 Arrangement of DE & CP in existing ESP

6	þ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	c	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	c	0	D	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c	Ô	0	0	0	0	0	Ô	0	0	0	c	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	0	٥	d	0	D	0	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	þ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	c	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	c	0	D	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	c
	D	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	c	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	D	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c	0	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	c	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		5	D	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•
	c	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	c	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	c		D	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	c

Fig 2.2

The existing electrostatic precipitator details given the above. In this electrostatic precipitator the rod type electrode using as a discharge electrode. The hammering system is used for removing the deposited dust particles from the collection plate. In this project, the spiked discharge electrode is used for charging the dust particles instead of rod type discharge electrode.

3. MODELLING AND ANALYSIS OF SPIKED **DISCHARGE ELECTRODE**



Fig. 3.1 Arrangement of spiked discharge electrode in electrostatic precipitator (Top view)

4. MODELING AND ANALYSIS OF SPIKED **DISCHARGE ELECTRODE**

W = qEp / $(4\pi\mu r)$ W=Migration velocity q= Particle charge (C) Ep=Strength of electric field (V/m) $\mathbf{R} =$ radius of the particles $\eta = 1 - \exp\left(-WA / Q\right)$ W=Migration velocity Q = gas flow rate A = Collection surface area

4.1 Case 1



Fig. 4.1 Modelling of spiked discharge electrode with D=0.1m, L=0.05m (D=Distance between spike, L=Length of the spike)

The diameter of the centre rod is 20 mm, the spike length starts from 50mm and the distance between the spike starts from 100mm. The number of spiked discharge electrode per field is 36. So the total number of the electrode in the ESP is 108. This discharge electrode analysed for electric field strength through ANSYS.



Fig. 4.2 Analysis result of spiked discharge electrode with D=0.1m, L=0.05m

The efficiency of the electrostatic precipitator is 30%.

4.2 Case 2



The diameter of the centre rod is 20 mm, the spike length 110mm and the distance between the spikes 100mm. The number of spiked discharge electrode per field is 36. So the total number of the electrode in the ESP is 108.



Fig. 4.4 D=0.1m, L=0.11m

4.3 Case 3





Fig. 4.6 D=0.3m, L=0.09m

4.4 Case 4

The diameter of the centre rod is 20 mm, the spike length 130mm and the distance between the spikes 400mm. The number of spiked discharge electrode per field is 36. So the total number of the electrode in the ESP is 108.



5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The summary of the efficiency of electrode calculated with help of analysis in ANSYS is given by the following table,

D (m)	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4			
L (m)	0.1	0.2	0.5				
0.05	30%	41%	47%	62%			
0.07	54%	64%	73%	81%			
0.09	82%	88%	91%	91.8%			
0.11	-	-	-	95.5%			
0.13	-	-	-	99.98			

Table -1: Summary of the analysis with various D and L

According to this analysis the following distance between the spikes and length of the spike can't use that as a discharge electrode because they will convert as a conductor in that particular charging period.

 Table -2: Analysis results for which the electrode convert as

 a conductor

D (m) L (m)	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4
0.11	1	1	1	-
0.13	1	1	1	-

According to the analysis and calculations D = 400mm & L = 130mm is the optimum dimensions for using the spiked electrode perfectly for this particular electrostatic precipitator.



The figure 5.1 shows, if the length of the spike increases the electric charge density also increases, but the higher electric charge will convert the electrode as a conductor.



Fig. 5.2 Spike length Vs Efficiency

The figure 5.2 shows, if the spike length increases the efficiency also increases. But the optimum spike length to achieve the maximum efficiency is 130mm.

6. CONCLUSION

In this work the discharge electrode of existing electrostatic precipitator modified as a spiked discharge electrode. The spiked discharge electrode analysed through ANSYS with various dimensions of D and L (D=distance between spike, L=length of the spike). As per the analysis and calculations the 130mm spike length and 400mm of distance between spikes is the optimum spiked discharge electrode to charge and collect the dust particles in electrostatic precipitator. Due to reduction in number of electrodes the spiked discharge electrode can save 40% of electrode material. This will increase the efficiency compare to the existing electrostatic precipitator.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I express my sincere thanks to Dr. P.K.Jayadev Ph.D., Prof (Retd), Department of Mechanical Engineering, Government college of engineering, Salem, for his valuable guidance and encouragement for finishing the project successfully.

I express my sincere thanks to Dr.T.Balusamy Ph.D., Asst. Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Government college of engineering, Salem, who has given encouragements and good suggestions at times to complete the project. I express my sincere thanks to SAIL management and Mr.P.Arjunan, AGM-CMM for allowing me to undertake this project work at boiler section. Also I express my sincere thanks to Mr. L. Sethur Muthaiah, senior technician for his valuable contribution in bringing out this project efficient manner. Finally, I express my thanks to HRDC of SAIL and all employees of boiler section, for their kind help which provided to bringing out this project in to light.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my parents and the Almighty, for all their blessings.

I would like to express my sincere thanks to my friends helping me to complete the project successfully.

The authors can acknowledge any person/authorities in this section. This is not mandatory.

REFERENCES

- [1] Dynamics of the Spatial Distribution of Atomic and Molecular Absorbing Layers in the Electrothermal Vaporization and Electrostatic Precipitation of an Analyte in an Atomizer - Journal of Analytical Chemistry, Vol. 58, No. 1, 2003, pp. 55–60. Translated from Zhurnal Analiticheskoi Khimii, Vol. 58, No. 1, 2003, pp. 64–70.
- [2] On the Modelling of the Electro-Hydrodynamic Flow Field in Electrostatic Precipitators - HANS-JOACHIM SCHMID, STEFFEN STOLZ from Institute of Mechanical Process Engineering and Mechanics, University of Karlsruhe, Germany.
- [3] Influence of precipitator agents NaOH and NH4OH on the preparation of Fe3O4 nano-particles synthesized by electron beam irradiation - Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry, Vol. 270, No.2 (2006) 285–289.
- [4] On the modeling of electro-hydrodynamic flow in a wire-plate electrostatic precipitator - Young Nam Chun† and Dong Seok Yeom from Department of Environmental Engineering, Chosun University, Seosuk-dong, Gwangju 501-759, Korea.
- [5] Corrosion of electrostatic precipitators of refiners of gases in the production of cement - Materials Science, Vol. 37, No. 1, 2001.
- [6] Field evaluation of a new particle concentratorelectrostatic precipitator system for measuring chemical and toxicological properties of particulate matter - Zhi Ning†1, Markus Sillanpää†1,2, Payam Pakbin from University of Southern California, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, 3620 South Vermont Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA.
- [7] Swirling Flow Structures in Electrostatic Precipitator - THORVALD ULLUM and POUL SCHEEL LARSEN from Department of Mechanical Engineering, Fluid Mechanics Section, Building 403, TechnicalUniversity of Denmark, DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark.
- [8] Jaworek A.; Adamiak K.; Balachandran W.; Krupa A.; Castle P.; Machowski W., Numerical

Simulation of Scavenging of Small Particles by Charged Droplets, Aerosol Science and Technology, vol.36, pp.913-924, Nov. 2002.

- [9] Pilat M.J.; Jaasund S.A.; Sparks L.E., —Collection of Aerosol Particles by Electrostatic Droplet Spray Scrubber, Environmental Science & Technology, vol.8, no.4, pp 360-362, Apr. 1974.
- [10] George H.F.; Poehlein G.W., —Capture of Aerosol Particles by Spherical Collectors: Electrostatic, Inertial, Interception, and Viscous Effects, Environmental Science & Technology, vol.8, no.1, pp.46-49, Nov. 1974.
- [11] Nielsen K.A.; Hill J.C., —Collection of Inertialess Particles on Spheres with Electrical Forces, Ind. Eng. Chem., Fundam., vol.15, no.3, pp.149-156, 1976.
- [12] Nielsen K.A.; Hill J.C., —Capture of Particles on Sphere by Inertial and electrical Forces, II. Ind. Eng. Chem., Fundam., vol.15, no.3, pp.157-163, 1976.
- [13] Wang H.C.; Stukel J.J.; Leong K.H., —Charged particle Collection by an Oppositely Charged Accelerating Droplet, Aerosol Science and technology, pp.409-421, Jan. 1986.
- [14] Price R.H.; Wood J.E.; Jacobsen S.C.; —The Modelling of Electrostatic Forces in Small Electrostatic Actuators, I IEEE, pp.131-135, 1988.
- [15] Kraemer H.F.; Johnstone H.F., —Collection of Aerosol Particles in Presence of Electrostatic Field, Industrial Engineering and Chemistry, vol.47, no.12, pp.2426-2434, Dec. 1995.
- [16] Adamaik K.; Jaworek A.; Krupa A., —Deposition of Aerosol Particles on a Charged Spherical Collector, Journal of Electrostatics, vol.40, pp.443-448, 1997.
- [17] Adamaik K.; Jaworek A.; Krupa A., —Deposition efficiency of dust Particles on a Single, Fallinng and charged water Droplet, IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, vol.37, no.3, pp.743-750, May/June 2001.
- [18] Jaworek A.; Adamiak K.; Krupa A., —3D Model for Trajectories of Airborne Particles near a Charged Spherical Collector, Third International Conference on Multiphase Flow, June 8-12, 1998.
- [19] Jaworek A.; Krupa A.; Adamaik K., —Submicron Charged Dust Particles Interception by charged Drops, IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, vol.34, no.5, pp.985-991, Sep./Oct.1998.
- [20] A method of calculating the parameters of a corona discharge in electrostatic filters Power Technology and Engineering, Vol. 43, No. 6, 2009.
- [21] IUPAC, Compendium of Chemical Terminology, 2nd ed. (the "Gold Book") (1997). Online corrected version: (2006–) "electrostatic precipitator".