

CAHIT-8-EQUITABILITY OF CORONAS $C_n \circ K_1$

Dayanand G. K¹, Shabbir Ahmed², Danappa. G. Akka³

¹Dept. of Mathematics, BKIT (Rural Engg, College) Bhalki, Dist. Bidar Karnataka, India

²Professor of Mathematics, Gulbarga University, Gulbarga, Karnataka, India

³Dept. of Mathematics, Raja Rajeshwari College of Engineering Bangalore-74, Karnataka, India

Abstract

Cahit [4] proposed the concept of labeling the vertices and edges among the set of integers $\{0,1,2,\dots,k-1\}$ as evenly as possible to obtain a generalization of graceful labeling as follows: For any graph $G(V,E)$ and any positive integer k , assign vertex labels from $\{0,1,2,\dots,k-1\}$ so that when the edge labels are induced by the absolute value of the difference of the vertex labels, the number of vertices labeled with i and the number of vertices labeled with j differ by at most one and the number of edges labeled with i and the number of edges labeled with j differ by at most one. Cahit called a graph with such an assignment of labels k -equitable.

We establish that Corona graphs $C_n \circ K_1$ are k -equitable as per Cahit's definition of k -equitability, $k = 8$.

Keywords: Labeling, k -equitable, Cahit- k -equitable, corona graph.

AMS Subject Classification: Primary 05B15, 05B20, secondary 62K05.

1. INTRODUCTION

Every labeling of the vertices of a graph with distinct natural numbers induces a natural labeling of its edges: the label of an edge uv is the absolute value of the difference of labels of u and v . A labeling of the vertices of graph of order p is minimally k -equitable if the vertices are labeled with $0,1,2,\dots,p$ and in the induced labeling of its edges every label either occurs exactly k times or does not occur at all.

Bloom [2] used the term k -equitable to describe another kind of labeling. Hence we all use the term Cahit- k -equitable when the k -equitability is as per Cahit's definition. Bloom defined a labeling of the vertices of a graph to be k -equitable if in the induced labeling of its edges. Every labeling occurs exactly k -times if at all.

The Corona $G_1 \circ G_2$ of two graphs G_1 and G_2 was defined by Frucht and Harary[5] as the graph G obtained by taking one copy of G_1 which has p_1 vertices and p_1 copies of G_2 and then joining the i th vertex of G_1 to every vertex in the i^{th} copy of G_2 . In [3] proved that the corona graphs $C_n \circ K_1$ k -equitable as per Cahit's definition of k -equitability $k = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$. In addition in [1] we obtain the coronas $C_n \circ K_1$ are 7-equitable as per Cahit's definition. In this paper, we obtain that the coronas $C_n \circ K_1$, $n \geq 3$ are Cahit-8-equitable as per Cahit's definition.

2. CAHIT – 8 – EQUITABILITY OF CORONAS

Theorem: All Coronas Cahit-8-equitable

Proof: For Cahit-8-equitable the label set as well as the edge weight set is $\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7\}$. We have $|V(C_n \circ K_1)| = |E(C_n \circ K_1)| = 2n$. We consider different cases,

Case1: $2n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$
Let $p = q = 2n = 8t$,

Sub case 1.1: Suppose t is even. We give suitable labeling at the end of the proof for $t = 2$. So let $t \geq 4$. For Cahit – 8 – equitability of $C_n \circ K_1$ each label will have to be used 't' times such that each edge weight will occur 't' times.

We describe the labeling function $f: V(C_n \circ K_1) \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, 7\}$

$$\begin{aligned} f(u_1) &= 6 & f(v_1) &= 5 \\ f(u_{2i}) &= 0 & f(v_{2i}) &= 4, 1 \leq i \leq \frac{t}{2} \\ f(u_{2i+1}) &= 7 & f(v_{2i+1}) &= 2 & 1 \leq i \leq \frac{t}{2} - 1 \\ f(u_{t+1}) &= 7 & f(v_{t+1}) &= 7 \\ f(u_{2i}) &= 0 & f(v_{2i}) &= 5 & \frac{t}{2} + 1 \leq i \leq t \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(u_{2i+1}) = 6 \quad f(v_{2i+1}) = 5 \quad \frac{t}{2} + 1 \leq i \leq t - 1 \\
 f(u_{2t+1}) = 6 \quad f(v_{2t+1}) = 2 \\
 f(u_{2i+1}) = 4 \quad f(v_{2i+1}) = 6 \quad t + 1 \leq i \leq \frac{3t}{2} - 1 \\
 f(u_{2i}) = 1 \quad f(v_{2i}) = 2 \quad t + 1 \leq i \leq \frac{3t}{2} - 1 \\
 f(u_{3t}) = 1 \quad f(v_{3t}) = 4 \\
 f(u_{2t+1}) = 3 \quad f(v_{3t+1}) = 1 \quad \frac{3t}{2} \leq i \leq 2t - 1 \\
 f(u_{3t+2}) = 3 \quad f(v_{3t+2}) = 2 \\
 f(u_{2i}) = 3 \quad f(v_{2i}) = 7 \quad \frac{3t}{2} + 2 \leq i \leq 2t
 \end{aligned}$$

It can be directly verified that this labeling of $C_n \circ K_1$ is Cahit – 8 – equitable.

We give below a suitable labeling for $t = 2$ which corresponds to $n = 8$

3. CAHIT – 8 – EQUITABLE LABELING OF $C_8 \circ K_1$

Here $p = q = 16, t = 2, n = 8$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (v_1) \quad 5 \quad 4 \quad 7 \quad 5 \quad 2 \quad 4 \quad 2 \quad 1 \quad (v_8) \\
 (u_1) \quad 6 \quad 0 \quad 7 \quad 0 \quad 6 \quad 1 \quad 3 \quad 3 \quad (u_8)
 \end{aligned}$$

Sub Case 1.2 Suppose t is odd. We give suitable labeling at the end of the proof for $t = 3$. So let $t \geq 5$. In this case each label will have to be used ‘ t ’ times such that each edge weight will occur ‘ t ’ times.

We describe the labeling function $f: V(C_n \circ K_1) \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, 7\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(u_{2i-1}) = 7 \quad f(v_{2i-1}) = 4 \quad 1 \leq i \leq \frac{t+1}{2} \\
 f(u_{2i}) = 0 \quad f(v_{2i}) = 2 \quad 1 \leq i \leq \frac{t+1}{2} \\
 f(u_{2i+1}) = 6 \quad f(v_{2i+1}) = 1 \quad \frac{t+1}{2} \leq i \leq t \\
 f(u_{2i+2}) = 0 \quad f(v_{2i+2}) = 5 \quad \frac{t+1}{2} \leq i \leq t - 1 \\
 f(u_{2t+2}) = 5 \quad f(v_{2t+2}) = 5 \\
 f(u_{2i+1}) = 1 \quad f(v_{2i+1}) = 5 \quad t + 1 \leq i \leq \frac{3t-3}{2} \\
 f(u_{2i}) = 2 \quad f(v_{2i}) = 4 \quad t + 2 \leq i \leq \frac{3t-1}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(u_{3t}) = 1 \quad f(v_{3t}) = 2 \\
 f(u_{3t+1}) = 3 \quad f(v_{3t+1}) = 4 \\
 f(u_{2i+1}) = 3 \quad f(v_{2i+1}) = 7 \quad \frac{3t+1}{2} \leq i \leq 2t - 1
 \end{aligned}$$

$f(u_{2i}) = 3 \quad f(v_{2i}) = 6 \quad \frac{3(t+1)}{2} \leq i \leq 2t$
 It can be directly verified that each label and each edge weight occur ‘ t ’ times.

We give below a suitable labeling for $t = 3$ which corresponds to $n = 12$.

4. CAHIT – 8 – EQUITABLE LABELING OF $C_{12} \circ K_1$

Here $p = q = 24, t = 3, n = 12$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (v_1) \quad 4 \quad 2 \quad 4 \quad 2 \quad 1 \quad 5 \quad 1 \quad 5 \quad 2 \quad 4 \quad 7 \quad 6 \quad (v_{12}) \\
 (u_1) \quad 7 \quad 0 \quad 7 \quad 0 \quad 6 \quad 0 \quad 6 \quad 5 \quad 1 \quad 3 \quad 3 \quad 3 \quad (u_{12})
 \end{aligned}$$

Case 2 : $2n \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$
 Let $p = q = 2n = 8t + 2$

Sub Case 2.1: Suppose t is even. We give suitable labeling at the end of the proof for $t = 2$. So let $t \geq 4$. For Cahit – 8 – equitability of $C_n \circ K_1$ six labels will have to be used ‘ t ’ times each, and two labels will have to be used ‘ $t+1$ ’ times each such that six edge weights will occur ‘ t ’ times each and two edge weights will occur ‘ $t+1$ ’ times each.

We describe the labeling function $f: V(C_n \circ K_1) \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, 7\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(u_1) = 0 \quad f(v_1) = 1 \\
 f(u_{2i}) = 7 \quad f(v_{2i}) = 4 \quad 1 \leq i \leq \frac{t}{2} \\
 f(u_{2i+1}) = 0 \quad f(v_{2i+1}) = 2 \quad 1 \leq i \leq \frac{t}{2} \\
 f(u_{2i}) = 6 \quad f(v_{2i}) = 1 \quad \frac{t}{2} + 1 \leq i \leq t \\
 f(u_{2i+1}) = 0 \quad f(v_{2i+1}) = 5 \quad \frac{t}{2} + 2 \leq i \leq t \\
 f(u_{2t+2}) = 5 \quad f(v_{2t+2}) = 5 \\
 f(u_{2i+1}) = 1 \quad f(v_{2i+1}) = 5 \quad t + 1 \leq i \leq \frac{3t}{2} - 1 \\
 f(u_{2i}) = 2 \quad f(v_{2i}) = 4 \quad t + 2 \leq i \leq \frac{3t}{2} \\
 f(u_{3t+1}) = 1 \quad f(v_{3t+1}) = 2 \\
 f(u_{2i}) = 3 \quad f(v_{2i}) = 6 \quad \frac{3t}{2} + 1 \leq i \leq 2t
 \end{aligned}$$

$$f(u_{2i+1}) = 3 \quad f(v_{2i+1}) = 7 \quad \frac{3t}{2} + 1 \leq i \leq 2t$$

It can be directly verified that six labels and six edge-weights occur ‘t’ times each and two labels and two edge weights occur ‘t+1’ times each.

We give below a suitable labeling for t=2 which corresponds to n = 9.

5. CAHIT – 8 – EQUITABLE LABELING OF C₉○K₁

Here p = q = 18, t = 2, n = 9

$$(v_1) 1 \ 4 \ 2 \ 1 \ 4 \ 5 \ 2 \ 6 \ 7 \ (v_9)$$

$$(u_1) 0 \ 7 \ 0 \ 6 \ 0 \ 5 \ 1 \ 3 \ 3 \ (u_9)$$

Case 2.2 Assume t is odd. We give suitable labeling at the end of the proof for t = 1. So let t ≥ 3. For Cahit-8-equitability of C_n○K₁ six labels will have to be used ‘t’ times each and two labels will have to be used ‘t+1’ times each such that six edge weights will occur t times each and two edge weights will occur t+1 times each.

We describe the labeling function f: V (C_n○K₁) → {0,1,---,7}

$$f(u_{2i-1}) = 7 \quad f(v_{2i-1}) = 4 \quad 1 \leq i \leq \frac{t+1}{2}$$

$$f(u_{2i-2}) = 0 \quad f(v_{2i-2}) = 2 \quad 2 \leq i \leq \frac{t+3}{2}$$

$$f(u_{2i+1}) = 6 \quad f(v_3) = 1 \quad \frac{t+1}{2} \leq i \leq t$$

$$f(u_{2i}) = 0 \quad f(v_{2i}) = 5 \quad \frac{t+3}{2} \leq i \leq t$$

$$f(u_{2t+2}) = 5 \quad f(v_{2t+2}) = 5$$

$$f(u_{2i}) = 1 \quad f(v_{2i}) = 5 \quad t + 1 \leq i \leq \frac{3(t-1)}{2}$$

$$f(u_{2i+4}) = 2 \quad f(v_{2i+4}) = 4 \quad t \leq i \leq \frac{3(t-1)}{2} - 1$$

$$f(u_{3t}) = 1 \quad f(v_{3t}) = 2$$

$$f(u_{3t+1}) = 3 \quad f(v_{3t+1}) = 2$$

$$f(u_{3t+2}) = 3 \quad f(v_{3t+2}) = 4$$

$$f(u_{2i}) = 3 \quad f(v_{2i}) = 6 \quad \frac{3(t+1)}{2} \leq i \leq 2t$$

$$f(u_i) = 2 \quad f(v_i) = 1 \quad \frac{3(t+1)}{2} \leq i \leq 2$$

It can be directly verified that six labels and six edge weights occur ‘t’ times each and two labels and two edge weights occur ‘t+1’ times each.

We give below a suitable labeling for t = 1 which corresponds to n = 5.

6. CAHIT – 8 – EQUITABLE LABELING OF C₅○K₁

Here p = q = 10, t = 1, n = 5

v ₁	v ₂	v ₃	v ₄	v ₅
1	4	2	5	3
0	7	2	0	6
u ₁	u ₂	u ₃	u ₄	u ₅

Case3

$$2n \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$$

$$\text{Let } p = q = 2n = 8t + 4$$

Sub Case 3.1 Assume t is even. We give suitable labeling at the end of the proof for t = 2. So let t ≥ 4. For Cahit-8-equitability of C_n○K₁ four labels will have to be used ‘t’ times each, and four labels will have to be used ‘t+1’ times each such that four edge weights will occur t times each and four edge weights will occur ‘t+1’ times each.

We describe the labeling function f: V (C_n○K₁) → {0,1,---,7}

$$f(u_{2i-1}) = 0 \quad f(v_{2i-1}) = 2 \quad 1 \leq i \leq \frac{t}{2} + 1$$

$$f(u_2) = 7 \quad f(v_2) = 4 \quad 1 \leq i \leq \frac{t}{2}$$

$$f(u_{2i+1}) = 6 \quad f(v_{2i+1}) = 1 \quad \frac{t}{2} + 1 \leq i \leq t$$

$$f(u_{2i}) = 7 \quad f(v_{2i}) = 4 \quad \frac{t}{2} + 1 \leq i \leq t$$

$$f(u_{2t+2}) = 5 \quad f(v_{2t+2}) = 5$$

$$f(u_{2i+3}) = 1 \quad f(v_{2i+3}) = 5 \quad t \leq i \leq \frac{3t-2}{2}$$

$$f(u_{2i}) = 2 \quad f(v_{2i}) = 4 \quad t + 2 \leq i \leq \frac{3t}{2}$$

$$f(u_{3t+1}) = 1 \quad f(v_{2t+2}) = 2$$

$$f(u_{3t+2}) = 3 \quad f(v_{3t+2}) = 4$$

$$f(u_{2i}) = 3 \quad f(v_{2i}) = 7 \quad \frac{3t}{2} + 1 \leq i \leq 2t$$

$$f(u_{3t+1}) = 3 \quad f(v_{3t+1}) = 6 \quad \frac{3t}{2} + 2 \leq i \leq 2t + 1$$

It can be directly verified that four labels and four edge weights occur ‘t’ times each and four labels and four edge weights occur ‘t+1’ times each.

We give below a suitable labeling for t = 2 which corresponds to n = 10.

7. CAHIT – 8 – EQUITABLE LABELING OF $C_{10} \circ K_1$

Here $p = q = 20, t = 2, n = 10$

v_1	v_2	v_3	v_4	v_5	v_6	v_7
	v_8	v_9	v_{10}			
2	4	2	1	5	5	2
0	4	7	6			
	7	0	6	0	5	1
	3	3	3			
u_1	u_2	u_3	u_4	u_5	u_6	u_7
	u_8	u_9	u_{10}			

Sub Case 3.2 Assume t is odd. We give suitable labeling at the end of the proof for $t=1$. So let $t \geq 3$. For Cahit-8-equitability of $C_n \circ K_1$ four labels will have to be used ‘ t ’ times each and four labels will have to be used ‘ $t+1$ ’ times each such that four edge weights will occur ‘ t ’ times each and four edge weights will occur ‘ $t+1$ ’ times each.

We describe the labeling function $f: V(C_n \circ K_1) \rightarrow \{0,1, \dots, 7\}$

$$f(u_{2i-1}) = 7 \quad f(v_{2i-1}) = 4 \quad 1 \leq i \leq \frac{t+1}{2}$$

$$f(u_{2i}) = 0 \quad f(v_{2i}) = 2 \quad 1 \leq i \leq \frac{t+1}{2}$$

$$f(u_{2i+1}) = 0 \quad f(v_{2i+1}) = 4 \quad \frac{t+1}{2} \leq i \leq t$$

$$f(u_{2i}) = 6 \quad f(v_{2i}) = 5 \quad \frac{t+3}{2} \leq i \leq t$$

$$f(u_{2t+2}) = 5 \quad f(v_{2t+2}) = 5$$

$$f(u_{2i+1}) = 1 \quad f(v_{2i+1}) = 5 \quad t+1 \leq i \leq \frac{3t-1}{2}$$

$$f(u_{2i}) = 0 \quad f(v_{2i}) = 6 \quad t+2 \leq i \leq \frac{3t+1}{2}$$

$$f(u_{3t+2}) = 3 \quad f(v_{3t+2}) = 1$$

$$f(u_{3t+3}) = 3 \quad f(v_{3t+3}) = 4$$

$$f(u_{2i+1}) = 3 \quad f(v_{2i+1}) = 6 \quad \frac{3(t+1)}{2} \leq i \leq 2t$$

$$f(u_{2i}) = 3 \quad f(v_{2i}) = 7 \quad \frac{3t+3}{2} + 1 \leq i \leq 2t+1$$

It can be easily verified that four labels and four edge weights occur ‘ t ’ times each and four labels and four edge weights occur ‘ $t+1$ ’ times each.

We give below a suitable labeling for $t=1$ which correspond to $n = 6$.

8. CAHIT – 8 – EQUITABLE LABELING OF $C_6 \circ K_1$

Here $p = q = 12, t = 1, n = 6$

v_1	v_2	v_3	v_4	v_5	v_6
2	2	5	3	4	1
7	0	5	6	0	3
u_1	u_2	u_3	u_4	u_5	u_6

Case 4 $2n \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$
Let $p = q = 2n = 8t + 6$

Sub Case 4.1 Suppose t is even. We give suitable labeling at the end of the proof for $t = 2$. So let $t \geq 4$. For Cahit-8-equitability of $C_n \circ K_1$ two labels will have to be used ‘ t ’ times each and six labels will have to be used ‘ $t+1$ ’ times each such that two edge weights will occur ‘ t ’ times each and six edge weight will occur ‘ $t+1$ ’ times each.

We describe the labeling function $f: V(C_n \circ K_1) \rightarrow \{0,1, \dots, 7\}$

$$f(u_{2i-1}) = 0 \quad f(v_{2i-1}) = 2 \quad 1 \leq i \leq \frac{t}{2}$$

$$f(u_{2i}) = 7 \quad f(v_{2i}) = 4 \quad 1 \leq i \leq \frac{t}{2}$$

$$f(u_{t+1}) = 0 \quad f(v_{t+1}) = 4$$

$$f(u_{2i}) = 6 \quad f(v_{2i}) = 1 \quad \frac{t}{2} + 1 \leq i \leq t$$

$$f(u_{2i+1}) = 0 \quad f(v_{2i+1}) = 5 \quad \frac{t}{2} + 1 \leq i \leq t$$

$$f(u_{2t+2}) = 5 \quad f(v_{2t+2}) = 5$$

$$f(u_{2i+3}) = 1 \quad f(v_{2i+3}) = 5 \quad t \leq i \leq \frac{3t}{2} - 2$$

$$f(u_{2i+4}) = 2 \quad f(v_{2i+4}) = 4 \quad t \leq i \leq \frac{3t}{2} - 1$$

$$f(u_{3t+1}) = 1 \quad f(v_{3t+1}) = 2$$

$$f(u_{3t+3}) = 3 \quad f(v_{3t+3}) = 1$$

$$f(u_{2i+2}) = 3 \quad f(v_{2i+2}) = 7 \quad \frac{3t}{2} + 1 \leq i \leq 2t$$

$$f(u_{2i+1}) = 3 \quad f(v_{2i+1}) = 6 \quad \frac{3t}{2} + 2 \leq i \leq 2t+1$$

It can be obviously checked that two labels and two edge weights occur ‘ t ’ times each and six labels and six edge weights occur ‘ $t+1$ ’ times each.

We obtain below a suitable labeling for $t = 2$ which correspond to $n = 11$.

9. CAHIT – 8 – EQUITABLE LABELING OF

$C_{11} \circ K_1$

Here $p = q = 22, t = 2, n = 11$

$$\begin{matrix} (v_1) & 2 & 4 & 4 & 1 & 5 & 5 & 2 & 4 & 1 & 7 & 6 & (v_{11}) \\ (u_1) & 0 & 7 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 5 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & (u_{11}) \end{matrix}$$

Sub Case 4.2 Assume t is odd. We obtain labeling at the end of the proof for $t = 1$. So that let $t \geq 3$. For Cahit-8-equitability of $C_n \circ K_1$ two labels will have to be used ‘ t ’ times each and six labels will have to be used ‘ $t+1$ ’ times each such that two edge weights will occur ‘ t ’ times each and six edge weights will occur ‘ $t+1$ ’ times each.

We define the labeling function $f: V(C_n \circ K_1) \longrightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, 7\}$

$$f(u_{2i-1}) = 7 \qquad f(v_{2i-1}) = 4 \qquad 1 \leq i \leq \frac{t+1}{2}$$

$$f(u_{2i}) = 0 \qquad f(v_{2i}) = 2 \qquad 1 \leq i \leq \frac{t+1}{2}$$

$$f(u_{2i+1}) = 6 \qquad f(v_{2i+1}) = 1 \qquad \frac{t+1}{2} \leq i \leq t$$

$$f(u_{2i}) = 0 \qquad f(v_{2i}) = 5 \qquad \frac{t+3}{2} \leq i \leq t+1$$

$$f(u_{2t+1}) = 5 \qquad f(v_{2t+2}) = 5$$

$$f(u_{2i+1}) = 2 \qquad f(v_{2i+1}) = 4 \qquad t+1 \leq i \leq \frac{3t+1}{2}$$

$$f(u_{2i}) = 1 \qquad f(v_{2i}) = 5 \qquad t+2 \leq i \leq \frac{3t+1}{2}$$

$$f(u_{3t+3}) = 3 \qquad f(v_{3t+3}) = 2$$

$$f(u_{2i+1}) = 3 \qquad f(v_{2i+1}) = 6 \qquad \frac{3t+3}{2} \leq i \leq 2t+1$$

$$f(u_{2i}) = 3 \qquad f(v_{2i}) = 7 \qquad \frac{3t+5}{2} \leq i \leq 2t+1$$

It can be directly verified that two labels and two edge weights occur ‘ t ’ times each and six labels and six edge weights occur ‘ $t+1$ ’ times each.

We give below a suitable labeling for $t = 1$ which corresponds to $n = 7$.

10. CAHIT – 8 – EQUITABLE LABELING OF

$C_7 \circ K_1$

Here $p = q = 14, t = 1, n = 7$

v_1	v_2	v_3	v_4	v_5	v_6	v_7
3	1	5	2	2	1	3
7	0	5	0	6	4	4
u_1	u_2	u_3	u_4	u_5	u_6	u_7

REFERENCES

- [1] D. G. Akka and Sanjay Roy. Cahit-7-equitability of Coronas $C_n \circ K_1$, International Journal of mathematical sciences and Applications, Vol. 1 No. 3 (2011)1609-1618.
- [2] G. S. Bloom, Problem posed at the Graph Theory meeting of the New York Academy of Sciences Nov.(1990).
- [3] V. N. Bhat-Nayak and Shanta Telang, Cahit-Equitability of Coronas, Ars Combinatoria, Vol.71 (2004) 3-32
- [4] L. Cahit, Status of graceful tree conjecture in 1989, in Topics in Combinatorics and Graph Theory, R. Bodendiek and R.Henn (eds), Physica-Verlag Heidelberg (1990)
- [5] R. Frucht and F. Harary, On the corona of two graphs, Aequationes Mathematicae (1971)